CHAPTER - IV

SGSY: THE SCHEME AT WORK IN INDIA AND HARYANA

The Swaranjayanti Gram Swarojgar Yojana (SGSY) was launched as an integrated programme for self- employment of rural poor with effect from April 1st, 1999. The objective of the scheme is to organize assisted poor families into self- help groups through the process of social mobilization, their training and capacity building and provision of income generating assets through a mix of bank credit and govt. subsidy.¹ SGSY particularly focuses on the most deprived groups among the rural poor . The benificiaries known as 'Swarozgaris' are to be drawn from the BPL list approve by the Gram Sabha. Accordingly atleast 50%, 40% and 3% of swarozgaris are to be from amongst the SC's/ ST's, women and disabled, respectively. The main aim of SGSY is to ensure that the assisted poor families have a monthly income of at least 2000 so that to bring those families above poverty line in 3 years. The assisted families may be individuals (Swarozgaris) or groups (self- help groups). Emphasis will be on the group approach.²

The scheme emphasizes upon establishment of activity clusters through selection of key activities based on aptitude and skill of the people, availability of resources and market potentiality. The scheme attempts to build the capacities of the rural poor as also to nurture, form and strengthen SHG's through the involvement of various governmental and non- governmental agencies. Flexibility has been given to the District Rural Development Agency/ States in the utilization of funds for training sanction of Revolving Funds, subsidy for economic activity based on the stage of development of groups.³The focus of the programme is on establishing a large number of micro- enterprises in rural areas based on the ability of poor and potential of each area, both land based and otherwise, for sustainable income generation.

^{1. &}lt;u>http://india.gov.in/sectors/rural/swarana-jayanti.php visited on july8,2013</u>

^{2.} http://coochbehar.nic.in/Humfiles/SGSY.html visited on july 10, 2013

^{3.} http://india.gov.in/sectors/rural/swaranjayanti.php visited on july10,2013

Due emphasis is being laid on different components such as capacity building of the poor, skill development training, Credits, training, technology transfer, marketing and infrastructure. The subsidy allowed under SGSY in 30% of the total project cost, subject to a ceiling of 7500 rupees (for SC/ST's and disabled persons subsidy limit is 50% of project cost subject to a ceiling of 10,000 rupees).for self- help groups, subsidy would be 50 per cent of the project cost subject to a ceiling of Rs 1.25 lakh or per capita subsidy of Rs. 10,000, whichever is less. There is no monetary ceiling on subsidy for minor irrigation projects for self- help groups as well as individual swarozgaris. The SGSY seeks to promote multiple credits rather than a one- time credit injection.⁴

Selection could be made up to 10 key activities per block based on local resources, occupational skills of the people and availability of market so that the Swarozgaris can draw suitable income from their investments. Under SGSY each block should concentrate on 4-5 selected key activities and attend to all aspects of these activities in a cluster approach, so that Swarozgaris can draw sustainable income from their investment. The scheme lays special emphasis on development of Swarozgaris through well designed courses tailored to the activities selected and the requirement of each Swarozgaris. SGSY is being implemented through District Rural Development Agency (DRDA's), with active involvement of panchayati raj institutions, banks and NGOs.⁵

Group activity will be given preference and progressively majority of the funds will be for self- help groups. The self- help groups broadly go through three stages of evolution such as group formation, capital formation through the revolving fund and skill development and taking up of economic activity for income generation. The assistance (loan cum subsidy) may be extended to individuals in a group or to all the members I the group for taking up income generation activities.⁶

Every SHG that is in existence at least for a period of 6 months and have qualified as a viable group will receive a revolving fund of Rs. 25,000 from banks as cash credit facility, to which amount the DRDA contributes to the extent of Rs.10, 000.

5. Ibid.

^{4.} http://coochbehar.nic.in/htmlfiles/SGSY.html visited on july10,2013

^{6.} http://cgdif.nic.in/sgsyact.pdf visited on 5 july 2013

The bank plays a crucial role in the implementation of SGSY right from the identification of key activities, formation and evolution of self- help groups, identification of Swarozgaris as well as planning of all the elements of key activities. The bank has the final say in the selection of swarozgaris.⁷

The present study is on empirical study conducted on the Mahendergarh district of Haryana state. In this chapter aggregate data regarding progress and achievement of SGSY in India, in State of Haryana and inMahendergarh district has been analyzed.

4.1 **Progress and achievement of SGSY in India:**

Swaranjayanti Gram Swarozgar Yojana was launched on April1, 1999 throughout India. In the present section progress and achievement of the scheme is analysed since its inception till March 31, 2012 is in all the states and union territories of India assessed. The progress and achievement are assessed in physical and financial terms.

4.1.1 Physical Progress of SGSY in India

The progress regarding number of SHGs formed per lakh of population and the number of those SHGs which became defunct per lakh of population in the states and union territories of India since inception of SGSY till March 31, 2012 is shown in table 4.1.1A.

It is evident from the table that a total of 8994.68 SHGs per lakh of population have been formed under SGSY in various states and union territories since the inception of the scheme, i.e, April1,1999 to March31,2012 and out of these a total of 619.68 SHGs per lakh population became defunct. Maximum numbers of (1147.5) SHGs per lakh population have been formed in Andhara Pradesh which is 12.76% of the total SHGs formed per lakh population. This is followed by Tripura where 938.05 SHGs per lakh of population have been formed, which is 10.43% of total number of SHGs formed per lakh of population under SGSY till March31,2012.

^{7.} Ibid

Minimum numbers of SHGs have been formed in Punjab where 28.5% SHGs per lakh of population have been formed, which is 0.32 % of the total SHGs formed per lakh of population in the various state since inception of scheme till the end of March2012. This is followed by the state Jammu and Kashmir where 64.49% SHGs per lakh population have been formed, which constitutes 0.72% of the total number of SHGs formed per lakh of population under SGSY since inception**Table-4.1.1A**

Table-4.1.1A

| Sr. | Name of | Number of | SHGs formed per | Number of Defunct | Defunct SHGs per |
|-----|--------------|-------------|-----------------|-------------------|------------------|
| No. | States/UTs | SHGs formed | lakh population | SHGs | lakh population |
| 1 | Andhra | 971537 | 1147.5 | 40057 | 47.31 |
| | Pradesh | | (12.76%) | | (7.63%) |
| 2 | Arunachal | 447 | 73.56 | 73 | 12.01 |
| | Pradesh | | (0.82%) | | (1.94%) |
| 3 | Assam | 235648 | 756.03 | 4312 | 13.83 |
| | | | (8.41%) | | (2.23%) |
| 4 | Bihar | 183445 | 176.72 | 9456 | 9.11 |
| | | | (1.96%) | | (1.47%) |
| 5 | Chhattisgarh | 64629 | 253.05 | 11623 | 45.5 |
| | | | (2.81%) | | 1(7.34%) |
| | | | | | |
| 6 | Goa | 1144 | 78.48 | 0 | 0 |
| | | | (0.87%) | | |
| 7 | Gujarat | 65716 | 108.83 | 31483 | 52.14 |
| | | | (1.21%) | | (8.41%) |
| 8 | Haryana | 22715 | 89.59 | 1103 | 4.35 |
| | | | (1.00%) | | (0.70%) |
| 9 | Himachal | 11610 | 169.32 | 698 | 10.18 |
| | Pradesh | | (1.88%) | | (1.64%) |
| 10 | Jammu and | 8093 | 64.49 | 822 | 6.55 |
| | Kashmir | | (0.72%) | | (1.06%) |

State wise physical progress of SGSY since inception to March 31, 2012

| 11 | Jharkhand | 65285 | 198.03 | 2437 | 7.39 |
|----|---------------|--------|----------|-------|----------|
| | | | (2.20%) | | (1.19%) |
| 12 | Karnataka | 81183 | 132.8 | 887 | 1.45 |
| | | | (1.48%) | | (0.23%) |
| 13 | Kerala | 69951 | 209.51 | 7337 | 21.97 |
| | | | (2.33%) | | (3.55%) |
| 14 | Madhya | 297252 | 409.4 | 76787 | 105.77 |
| | Pradesh | | 5(4.55%) | | (17.05%) |
| 15 | Maharashtra | 248017 | 220.7 | 19105 | 17 |
| | | | (2.45%) | | (2.74%) |
| 16 | Manipur | 3216 | 118.15 | 0 | 0 |
| | | | (1.31%) | | |
| 17 | Meghalaya | 11829 | 399.09 | 827 | 27.90 |
| | | | (4.44%) | | (4.50%) |
| 18 | Mizoram | 2412 | 221.07 | 274 | 25.11 |
| | | | (2.46%) | | (4.05%) |
| 19 | Nagaland | 5739 | 289.76 | 426 | 25.51 |
| | | | (3.22%) | | (4.12%) |
| 20 | Orissa | 227100 | 541.39 | 8212 | 19.58 |
| | | | (6.02%) | | (3.16%) |
| 21 | Punjab | 7897 | 28.5 | 297 | 1.07 |
| | | | (0.32%) | | (0.17%) |
| 22 | Rajasthan | 212507 | 309.68 | 16056 | 23.40 |
| | | | (3.44%) | | (3.78%) |
| 23 | Sikkim | 2429 | 399.7 | 24 | 3.95 |
| | | | 1(4.44%) | | (0.64%) |
| 24 | Tamil Nadu | 352318 | 488.39 | 13049 | 18.09 |
| | | | (5.43%) | | (2.92%) |
| 25 | Tripura | 34436 | 938.05 | 1805 | 49.17 |
| | | | (10.43%) | | (7.93%) |
| 26 | Uttar Pradesh | 455894 | 228.42 | 69993 | 35.07 |
| | | | (2.54%) | | (5.66%) |
| 27 | Uttarakhand | 34001 | 336.09 | 2428 | 23.99 |
| | | | (3.74%) | | (3.87%) |

| 28 | West Bengal | 309990 | 339.35 | 11212 | 12.27 |
|----|--------------|---------|---------|--------|---------|
| | | | (3.77%) | | (1.98%) |
| 29 | Andaman and | 379 | 99.75 | 0 | 0 |
| | Nicobar | | | | |
| | Island | | | | |
| | | | (1.11%) | | |
| 30 | Daman and | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Diu | | | | |
| 31 | Dadra and | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Nagar Haveli | | | | |
| 32 | Lakshadweep | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 33 | Pondicherry | 2106 | 169.22 | 0 | 0 |
| | | | (1.88%) | | |
| | Total | 4040749 | 8994.68 | 325639 | 619.68 |

The total also shows that amongst the union territories, except Pondicherry and Andaman and Nicobar Islands, no SHGs under SGSY was formed in any other union territories till the end of March 2012 . 169.22 SHGs and 99.75 SHGs per lakh of population have been formed in Pondicherry and Andaman and Nicobar Islands, respectively. This is 1.88% and 1.11% of the total no of SHGs formed per lakh of population.

Since formation of SHGs under SGSY cannot be the sole indicator to judge the performance of SGSY, the researcher also analysed the data regarding number of defunct SHGs. Highest number of defunct SHGs was 105.77 per lakh of population, which is 17.07 % of total number of defunct SHGs in all the states and union territories. With 52.14 defunct SHGs per lakh of population , which is 8.41 % of the total number of defunct SHGs per lakh of population, Gujarat was the state where 2nd largest of SHGs became defunct.

Besides Goa and Manipur where the number of defunct SHGs remained zero, lowest number of defunct SHGs was recorded in Punjab where 1.07 SHGs per lakh of population remained defunct, which is 0.17% of the total number of defunct SHGs per lakh of population. In the case of Karnataka 1.45 SHGs became defunct per lakh of population which is 0.23 % of the total

number of defunct SHGs per lakh of population. It is important that no SHGs became defunct in the two union territories of Pondicherry and Andaman Nicobar Islands (Table-4.1.1A).

Formation of SHGs is definitely an important step, but it is rather more important to ensure that after their formation, the SHGs should successfully complete the different stages of and start their business activity. State wise information regarding progress of SHGs after formation shown in

Table-4.1.1B below:

It is apparent from Table-4.1.1 B that out of the total 8994.98 SHGs per lakh of population formed under SGSY in various states and union territories since the inception of the scheme (April 1, 1999) till March 31, 2012 a total of 6129.66 SHGs per lakh of Population passed Grade-I. The maximum number of SHGs passed Grade-I in Andhra Pradesh were887.32 SHGs per lakh of population that have passed Grade-I. With 719.44 SHGs per lakh of population having passed Grade-I, which is 11.74 % of the total of SHGs, Tripura remain 2nd in terms of performance on this indicator. With 12.82 SHGs and 27.95 SHGs per lakh of population respectively, Punjab and Manipur have been the two states where minimum number of SHGs per lakh of population that passed Grade-I are 0.21 % and 0.46 %. Amongst two union territories of Pondicherry and Andaman Nicobar Islands, 159.66 SHGs and 99.75 SHGs per lakh of population that passed Grade-I and their respective shares remained 2.60% and 1.43% table 4.1.1 B).

Andhara Pradesh has been the state where highest number of SHGs per lakh of population (808.18) passed Grade-II and this has been 23.25 % of total SHGs per lakh of population that passed Grade-II. Andhra Pradesh is followed by the state of Tripura where 310.15 SHGs per lakh of population have passed Grade-II, which is 8.92% of the total number of such SHGs. Punjab and Manipur have been once again the states where lowest number of SHGs per lakh of population have passed Grade-II. Of the total SHGs per lakh of the population that passed Grade-II. Of the total SHGs per lakh of the population that passed Grade-II. Of the total SHGs per lakh of the population that passed Grade-II, the progress of the two states has been 0.31% and 0.51%, respectively.

Table-4.1.1B

State - wise Physical Progress of SGSY since Inception to March, 2012

| Sr. | Name of | SHGs formed | SHGs passed | SHGs passed | SHGs started |
|-----|----------------|-------------|-----------------|----------------|---------------------|
| No | States/ UTs | per lakh | Grade-1 per | Grade-IIper | economic activity |
| | | population | lakh population | lakhpopulation | per Lakh population |
| 1 | Andhra Pradesh | 1147.5 | 887.32 | 808.1 | 659.32 |
| | | (12.76%) | (14.48%) | 8(23.25%) | (24.22%) |
| 2 | Arunachal | 73.56 | 46.07 | 28.30 | 18.92 |
| | Pradesh | (0.82%) | (0.75%) | (0.81%) | (0.70%) |
| 3 | Assam | 756.03 | 554.2 | 298.49 | 257.29 |
| | | (8.41%) | 3(9.04%) | (8.59%) | (9.45%) |
| 4 | Bihar | 176.72 | 97.01 | 58.65 | 48.91 |
| | | (1.96%) | (1.58%) | (1.69%) | (1.80%) |
| 5 | Chhattisgarh | 253.05 | 136.43 | 78.39 | 69.511 |
| | | (2.81%) | (2.23%) | (2.25%) | (2.55%) |
| 6 | Goa | 78.48 | 56.25 | 42.05 | 43.38 |
| | | (0.87%) | (0.92%) | (1.21%) | (1.61%) |
| 7 | Gujarat | 108.83 | 40.35 | 20.43 | 13.20 |
| | | (1.21%) | (0.66%) | (0.59%) | (0.48%) |
| 8 | Haryana | 89.59 | 71.31 | 49.03 | 48.64 |
| | | (1%) | (1.16%) | (1.41%) | (1.79%) |
| 9 | Himachal | 169.32 | 105.6 | 119.24 | 111.6 |
| | Pradesh | (1.88%) | | (3.43%) | 7(4.10%) |
| | | | (1.72%) | | |
| 10 | Jammu and | 64.49 | 30.59 | 10.88 | 8.58 |
| | Kashmir | (0.72%) | (0.50%) | (0.31%) | (0.32%) |
| 11 | Jharkhand | 198.03 | 150.81 | 128.38 | 56.29 |
| | | (2.20%) | (2.46%) | (3.69%) | (2.07%) |
| 12 | Karnataka | 132.8 | 97.88 | 39.97 | 56.49 |
| | | L | l | 1 | L |

| | | (1.48%) | (1.60%) | (1.15%) | (2.08%) |
|----|---------------|----------|-----------|----------|----------|
| 13 | Kerala | 209.5 | 152.49 | 72.60 | 56.7 |
| | | 1 | (2.49%) | (2.09%) | 3(2.08%) |
| | | (2.33%) | | | |
| 14 | Madhya | 409.45 | 168.03 | 86.31 | 57.87 |
| | Pradesh | (4.55%) | (2.74%) | (2.49%) | (2.13%) |
| 15 | Maharashtra | 220.7 | 163.7 | 91.09 | 39.37 |
| | | (2.45%) | 9(2.67%) | (2.62%) | |
| | | | | | (1.45%) |
| 16 | Manipur | 118.15 | 27.95 | 17.59 | 7.1 |
| | | (1.31%) | (0.46%) | (0.51%) | 2(0.26%) |
| 17 | Meghalaya | 399.09 | 212.51 | 61.97 | 30.9 |
| | | (4.44%) | (3.47%) | (1.78%) | 7(1.14%) |
| 18 | Mizoram | 221.07 | 160.30 | 100.64 | 87.25 |
| | | (2.46%) | (2.62%) | (2.89%) | (3.29%) |
| 19 | Nagaland | 289.76 | 198.2 | 173.17 | 102.94 |
| | | (3.22%) | 7(3.23%) | (4.98%) | (3.78%) |
| 20 | Orissa | 541.39 | 358.2 | 187.50 | 155.16 |
| | | (6.02%) | 7(5.84%) | (5.39%) | (5.70%) |
| 21 | Punjab | 28.5 | 12.82 | 10.7 | 16.35 |
| | | (0.32%) | (0.21%) | 2(0.31%) | (0.60%) |
| 22 | Rajasthan | 309.68 | 65.52 | 32.07 | 24.61 |
| | | (3.44%) | (1.07%) | (0.92%) | (0.90%) |
| 23 | Sikkim | 399.71 | 281.81 | 129.67 | 129.6 |
| | | (4.44%) | (4.60%) | (3.73%) | 7(4.76%) |
| | | | | | |
| 24 | Tamil Nadu | 488.39 | 430.30 | 89.77 | 66.62 |
| | | (5.43%) | (7.02%) | (2.58%) | (2.45%) |
| 25 | Tripura | 938.05 | 719.4 | 310.15 | 302.06 |
| | | (10.43%) | 4(11.74%) | (8.92%) | (11.10%) |
| 26 | Uttar Pradesh | 228.42 | 132.39 | 58.02 | 72.45 |
| | | (2.54%) | (2.16%) | (1.67%) | (2.66%) |
| 27 | Uttarakhand | 336.09 | 245.9 | 162.4 | 137.98 |
| | | (3.74%) | 6(4.01%) | 8(4.67%) | (5.07%) |

| 28 | West Bengal | 339.95 | 278.80 | 97.67 | 26.6 |
|------|----------------|---------|----------|---------|----------|
| | | (3.77%) | (4.55%) | (2.81%) | (20.98%) |
| 29 | Andaman and | 99.75 | 87.38 | 15.26 | 15.26 |
| | Nicobar Island | (1.11%) | (1.43%) | (0.44%) | (0.56%) |
| 30 | Daman and Diu | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | | (0.00%) | (0.00%) | (0.00%) | (0.00%) |
| 31 | Dadra and | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Nagar Haveli | (0.00%) | (0.00%) | (0.00%) | (0.00%) |
| 32 | Lakshadweep | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | | (0.00%) | (0.00%) | (0.00%) | (0.00%) |
| 33 | Pondicherry | 169.22 | 159.6 | 97.47 | 0 |
| | | (1.88%) | 6(2.60%) | (2.80%) | (0.00%) |
| Tota | al | 8994.98 | 6129.66 | 3476.44 | 2721.68 |

In the union territories of Pondicherry and Andaman and Nicobar Islands, 97.47 SHGs and 87.38 SHGs per lakh of population, respectively, have passed Grade-II. With 659.32 SHGs per lakh of population, which is 24.22 % of the total number of SHGs, having started Economic Activity, the state of Andhra Pradesh has ahead of the other states. And Andhra Pradesh is once again followed by Tripura where 302.06 SHGs per lakh of population (11.10 % 0f total such SHGs) have started economic activity. Manipur and Jammu Kashmir have been the two states where lowest number of the SHGs per lakh of the population started economic activity. The respective shares of these two states in the total numbers of SHGs per lakh of population have been 0.26% 0.32%. In the case of Andaman and Nicobar Islands, 15.26 SHGs per lakh of population started economic activity while in the case of Pondicherry no SHG could start economic activity.

4.1.2 Progress in Financial Terms:

Financial progress of SGSY includes total allocation for and expenditure on the SHGs. State wise financial progress of the SHGs during the year 2011-2012 in the states and the union territories of India is exhibited in Table-4.1.2 below:

Table-4.1.2

State- wise Financial Progress under SGSY in India Year 2011-2012

| | (KS III LAKIIS) | | | | | | | | |
|-----|-----------------|-------------|------------|----------|-------------|-------------|--|--|--|
| Sr. | States/UTs | Total | Allocation | Amount | Utilization | Percent | | | |
| No. | | Allocations | per lakh | Utilized | per lakh | Expenditure | | | |
| | | | population | | population | | | | |
| 1 | Andhra Pradesh | 18868.43 | 22.29 | 18460.59 | 21.80 | 97.84 | | | |
| 2 | Arunachal | 723.79 | 119.11 | 135.87 | 22.36 | 18.77 | | | |
| | Pradesh | | | | | | | | |
| 3 | Assam | 26887.4 | 86.26 | 21924 | 70.34 | 84.03 | | | |
| 4 | Bihar | 55695.15 | 53.65 | 27334.28 | 26.33 | 49.08 | | | |
| 5 | Chhattisgarh | 9522.02 | 37.28 | 7736.15 | 30.29 | 81.24 | | | |
| 6 | Goa | 266.9 | 18.31 | 77.89 | 5.34 | 29.18 | | | |
| 7 | Gujarat | 7219.18 | 11.96 | 6949.44 | 11.51 | 96.26 | | | |
| 8 | Haryana | 4052.19 | 15.98 | 3907.13 | 15.41 | 96.42 | | | |
| 9 | Himachal | 2128.13 | 31.04 | 1460.85 | 21.31 | 68.64 | | | |
| | Pradesh | | | | | | | | |
| 10 | Jammu | 1534.47 | 12.23 | 734.12 | 5.85 | 47.84 | | | |
| | &Kashmir | | | | | | | | |
| 11 | Jharkhand | 21570.35 | 65.43 | 12369.65 | 37.52 | 57.35 | | | |
| 12 | Karnataka | 14985.98 | 24.51 | 12646.39 | 20.69 | 84.39 | | | |
| 13 | Kerala | 6021.92 | 18.04 | 5851.54 | 17.53 | 97.17 | | | |
| 14 | Madhya | 21332.51 | 29.38 | 17926.16 | 24.69 | 84.03 | | | |
| | Pradesh | | | | | | | | |
| 15 | Maharashtra | 25489.34 | 22.68 | 2220.67 | 1.98 | 87.14 | | | |
| 16 | Manipur | 1310.11 | 48.13 | 360.69 | 13.25 | 27.53 | | | |
| 17 | Meghalaya | 1505.89 | 50.81 | 818.23 | 27.61 | 54.34 | | | |
| 18 | Mizoram | 586.51 | 53.76 | 493.23 | 45.21 | 84.09 | | | |

(Rs in Lakhs)

| 33 Tota | Pondicherry | 367.26 375220.54 | 29.51 31.01 | 148.52 280403.93 | 11.93 23.17 | 40.44 74.73 |
|------------|----------------|---------------------|-----------------------|---------------------|----------------|----------------|
| 32 | Lakshadweep | 25 | 38.80 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 |
| | Nagar Haveli | | | | | |
| 31 | Dadra and | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 |
| 30 | Daman and Diu | 25 | 10.29 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0 |
| | Nicobar Island | | | | | |
| 29 | Andaman and | 44.46 | 11.70 | 25.64 | 6.75 | 57.67 |
| 28 | West Bengal | 21861.46 | 23.93 | 18897.82 | 20.69 | 86.44 |
| 27 | Uttarakhand | 3424.99 | 33.85 | 3182.68 | 31.46 | 92.93 |
| 26 | Uttar Pradesh | 73906.81 | 37.03 | 49220.95 | 24.66 | 66.59 |
| 25 | Tripura | 3106.49 | 84.62 | 3080.41 | 83.91 | 99.16 |
| 24 | Tamil Nadu | 15981.76 | 22.15 | 14835.21 | 20.56 | 92.83 |
| 23 | Sikkim | 843.7 | 138.84 | 373.35 | 61.44 | 44.25 |
| 22 | Rajasthan | 13349.61 | 19.45 | 9954.67 | 14.51 | 87.7 |
| 21 | Punjab | 1859.55 | 6.71 | 1748.22 | 6.31 | 94.01 |
| 20 | Orissa | 19833.93 | 47.28 | 17282.97 | 41.20 | 87.14 |
| 19 | Nagaland | 890.25 | 44.95 | 399.91 | 20.19 | 44.92 |

It is clear from the Table-4.1.2 that during the year 2011-2012 highest allocation of funds of SGSY has been to the state of Sikkim (Rs. 138.84 lakhs per lakh of population) followed by Arunachal Pradesh (Rs. 119.11 lakhs per lakh of population) while the lowest allocation have been for the states of Punjab (Rs. 6.71 lakhs per lakhs of the population) and Gujarat (Rs. 11.96 lakhs per lakh of the population). Amongst the union territories, highest allocation was made to Lakshadweep (Rs 38.80 lakhs per lakh of the population) and the lowest has been to Daman and Diu (Rs 10.29 lakhs per lakh of the population).

The state of Sikkim which got allocation of funds per lakh of the population for SGSY could spend only 44.25 % of allocated money whereas Arunachal Pradesh which got 2nd highest allocation of funds per lakh of population spent only 18.77 % of allocated funds. The two states

of Andhra Pradesh and Tripura, which performed better in comparison to the other states in terms of physical progress of the scheme, utilized funds to the extent of 97.84 % and 99.16%, respectively.

Lakshadweep, which got highest allocation of funds for the scheme under union territories, did not spend any money, while Pondicherry and Andaman and Nicobar Islands spent to the extent 40.44% and 57.67% of the funds allocated to them, respectively (Table- 4.1.2).

4.2 Progress and Achievement of SGSY in Haryana :

Like in all other states of India, the SGSY was launched in all the district of the state of Haryana since April1, 1999. At the time of launching the scheme in 1999, there were seventeen districts in the state. Four new distict – Jhajjar, Palwal, Panchkula and Mewat were carved out later on. Thus, at present there are 21 districts in the state and the Scheme is operational in all these 21 districts.

4.2.1 District Wise Physical Progress of SGSY in Haryana :

The district- wise physical progress of SGSY in Haryana regarding number of SHGs formed and the number of defunct SHGs is shown in Table-4.2.1A below. It is evident from the Table-4.1.2A that a total of 105.03 SHGs per lakh of population have been formed under SGSY in various district of Haryana since the inception of the scheme, i.e., April 1, 1999 to March 31, 2012 and out of these, 4.35 SHGs per lakh of population, i.e., 4.14 %, have been defunct.

Table-4.2.1A

District- Wise Physical Progress of SGSY since Inception to March 31,2012

| Sr. | Name of District | No o | of | SHGs | formed | No. | of | Defunct SHGs per |
|-----|------------------|--------|----|-------|---------|---------|----|--------------------|
| No | | SHGs | | per | lakh of | defunct | | lakh of population |
| | | formed | | popul | ation | SHGs | | |

| 1 | Ambala | 1433 | 126.06 | 0 | 0 |
|----|--------------|------|---------|-----|----------|
| | | | (5.21%) | | (0.00%) |
| 2 | Bhiwani | 1360 | 83.48 | 567 | 34.80 |
| | | | (3.45%) | | (39.11%) |
| 3 | Faridabad | 463 | 25.74 | 21 | 1.17 |
| | | | (1.06%) | | (1.31%) |
| 4 | Fatehabad | 1050 | 111.52 | 16 | 1.70 |
| | | | (4.61%) | | (1.91%) |
| 5 | Gurgaon | 423 | 27.94 | 0 | 0 |
| | | | (1.15%) | | (0.00%) |
| 6 | Hisar | 1707 | 97.94 | 78 | 4.48 |
| | | | (4.05%) | | (5.03%) |
| 7 | Jhajjar | 533 | 55.70 | 104 | 10.87 |
| | | | (2.30%) | | (12.21%) |
| 8 | Jind | 2092 | 157.05 | 0 | 0 |
| | | | (6.49%) | | (0.00%) |
| | | | | | |
| 9 | Kaithal | 2036 | 189.77 | 0 | 0 |
| | | | (7.84%) | | (0.00%) |
| 10 | Karnal | 2024 | 134.37 | 66 | 4.38 |
| | | | (5.55%) | | (4.92%) |
| | | | | | |
| 11 | Kurushetra | 1567 | 162.61 | 0 | 0 |
| | | | (6.71%- | | (0.00%) |
| 12 | Mahendergarh | 1152 | 128.24 | 239 | 27.23 |
| | | | (5.30%) | | (30.61%) |
| 13 | Mewat | 1027 | 94.27 | 0 | 0 |
| | | | (3.89%) | | (0.00%) |
| 14 | Palwal | 888 | 85.34 | 0 | 0 |
| | | | (5.53%) | | (0.00%) |
| | | | | | |

| 15 | Panchkula | 656 | 117.38 | 0 | 0 |
|-------|-------------|-------|---------------|------|---------|
| | | | (4.85%) | | (0.00%) |
| 16 | Panipat | 897 | 74.58 | 0 | 0 |
| | | | (3.08%) | | (0.00%) |
| 17 | Rewari | 1789 | 199.64(8.25%) | 0 | 0 |
| | | | | | (0.00%) |
| 18 | Rohtak | 1170 | 110.51 | 0 | 0 |
| | | | (4.57%) | | (0.00%) |
| 19 | Sirsa | 1556 | 120.14 | 0 | 0 |
| | | | (4.96%) | | (0.00%) |
| 20 | Sonipat | 1256 | 84.86 | 0 | 0 |
| | | | (3.51%) | | (0.00%) |
| 21 | Yamunanagar | 1558 | 128.32 | 0 | 0 |
| | | | (5.30%) | | (0.00%) |
| Total | I | 26627 | 105.03 | 1103 | 4.35 |
| | | | (4.43%) | | (4.89%) |

Maximum no. of SHGs per lakh of population have been formed in Rewari (199.64 SHGs per lakh of population) followed by Kaithal (189.77 SHGs per lakh of population), which have been 8.25 % and 7.84 % of the total no of SHGs formed per lakh of the population in the state of Haryana. The lowest no. of SHGs per lakh of the population have been formed in the two relatively developed district of the state viz. Faridabad and Gurgaon where 1.06 SHGs and 1.15 SHGs per lakh of population have been formed respectively.

The highest no. of defunct SHGs has been observed in the two district of Bhiwani and Mahendergarh where 34.80 SHGs and 27.23 SHGs per lakh of population, respectively, have become defunct. It is significant that there have been no defunct SHGs in fourteen of the twenty-one districts of the state (Table-4.2.1A).

As is obvious from Table-4.2.1B, the highest numbers of SHGs passed Grade-I in district Kaithal (161.99 SHGs per lakh of population) followed by Jind district (145.26 SHGs per lakh of population). This constitutes 8.59% and 7.70% of the total numbers of SHGs per lakh of

population that passed Grade-I respectively. Lowest numbers of SHGs per lakh of population having passed Grade-I has been Faridabad and Gurgaon districts- in both of these districts only 0.93 % of the total SHGs per lakh of population have passed Grade-II- followed by Jhajjar district wheren 2.32% of the total SHGs per lakh of population have passed Grade-I.

Highest number of SHGs per lakh of population that passed Grade-II was in Jind district where the number of such SHGs was 108.78, which is 8.34% of the total SHGs per lakh of the population that passed Grade-II in Haryana since inception of SGSY till March 31, 2012. Jind is closely followed by Kaithal wgere 107.28 SHGs per lakh of the population passed Grade-II and this has been 8.23 % of the total SHGs per lakh of the population that passed Grade-II. Gurgaon and Faridabad have been the 2 districts where minimum no. of SHGs per lakh of population passed Grade-II and the respective number. Of SHGs in the two district has been 12.54 and 13.61, which is 0.96% and 1.04%, respectively (Table-4.2.1B)

Table-4.2.1B

| Sr. | Name of | SHGs formed | SHGs passed | SHGs passed | SHGs started |
|-----|-----------|-------------|-------------|--------------|---------------------|
| No. | District | per lakh of | Grade-I per | Grade-II per | economic activity |
| | | population | lakh of | lakh of | per lakh population |
| | | | population | population | |
| 1 | Ambala | 126.05 | 100.89 | 62.28 | 59.46 |
| | | (5.44%) | (5.35%) | (4.78%) | (4.54%) |
| 2 | Bhiwani | 83.48 | 62.11 | 53.89 | 47.75 |
| | | (3.61%) | (3.29%) | (4.13%) | (3.65%) |
| 3 | Faridabad | 25.74 | 17.56 | 13.61 | 11.28 |
| | | (1.11%) | (0.93%) | (1.04%) | (0.86%) |
| 4 | Fatehabad | 111.52 | 103.75 | 88.04 | 88.04 |
| | | (4.82%) | (5.50%) | (6.75%) | (6.73%) |

District - wise physical progress of SGSY in Haryana (Since Inception to March 31, 2012)

| 5 | Gurgaon | 27.94 | 17.63 | 12.54 | 12.86 |
|----|--------------|---------|---------|---------|-----------|
| | | (1.21%) | (0.93%) | (0.96%) | (0.92%) |
| 6 | Hisar | 97.94 | 81.76 | 72 | 72 |
| | | (4.23%) | (4.33%) | (5.52%) | (5.50%) |
| 7 | Jhajjar | 55.70 | 43.78 | 39.18 | 39.18 |
| | | (2.41%) | (2.32%) | (3.01%) | (2.99%) |
| 8 | Jind | 157.05 | 145.26 | 118.78 | 108.78 |
| | | (6.78%) | (7.70%) | (8.34%) | (8.31%) |
| 9 | Kaithal | 189.77 | 161.99 | 107.28 | 107.28 |
| | | (8.20%) | (8.59%) | (8.23%) | (8.20%) |
| 10 | Karnal | 134.37 | 107.34 | 99.04 | 99.04 |
| | | (5.80%) | (5.69%) | (7.60%) | (7.57%) |
| 11 | Kurukshetra | 162.51 | 128.80 | 73.11 | 73.11 |
| | | (7.02%) | (6.83%) | (5.61%0 | (5.59%\$) |
| 12 | Mahendergarh | 128.24 | 101.11 | 63.03 | 63.03 |
| | | (5.54%) | (5.36%) | (4.83%) | (4.82%) |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| 13 | Mewat | 94.27 | 62.87 | 26.43 | 26.43 |
| | | (4.07%) | (3.33%) | (2.03%) | (2.02%) |
| 14 | Palwal | 85.34 | 68.90 | 33.54 | 33.54 |
| | | (3.69%) | (3.65%) | (2.57%) | (2.56%) |
| 15 | Panchkula | 117.37 | 89.6 | 64.77 | 64.77 |
| | | (5.07%) | (4.75%) | (4.97%) | (4.95%0 |
| 16 | Panipat | 74.57 | 67.09 | 50.04 | 47.38 |
| | | (3.22%) | (3.56%) | (3.84%) | (3.62%) |
| 17 | Rewari | 199.64 | 135.91 | 91.05 | 91.05 |
| | | (8.62%) | (7.21%) | (6.98%) | (6.96%) |
| 18 | Rohtak | 110.51 | 85.67 | 49.30 | 69.52 |
| | | (4.77%) | (4.54%) | (3.78%) | (5.31%) |
| 19 | Sirsa | 120.14 | 110.87 | 52.11 | 51.11 |

| Total | | 2315.33 | 1886.3 | 1303.65 | 130846 | |
|-------|-------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|--|
| | | (5.54%) | (6.17%) | (6.43%) | (6.41%) | |
| 21 | Yamunanagar | 128.32 | 116.45 | 83.84 | 83.84 | |
| | | (3.67%) | (4.08%) | (4.59%) | (4.57%) | |
| 20 | Sonipat | 84.86 | 76.95 | 59.79 | 59.79 | |
| | | (5.19%) | (5.88%) | (4%) | (3.91%) | |

A total 1308.46 SHGs per lakh of population started Economic Activity in the State of Haryana since the inception of the scheme till the end of March 2011. The highest no. of such SHGs wasregistered in Jind andKaithal districts where all thoseSHGswhichpassed Grade-II. (108.78 And 107.28 SHGs per lakh of population, respectively) started Economic Activity. Minimum no of SHGs per lakh of population started Economic Activity have been again in the two districts of Gurgaon and Faridabad where 11.28 and 12.07 SHGs per lakh of population, respectively, have started Economic Activity.

4.2.2 Financial Progress under SGSY in Haryana :

Financial Progress of SGSY includes total availability of funds and the quantum of funds spent both by the Centre and the state govt. of SHGs. The financial progress of self-help groups during the period started from April 1, 1999 till March 31, 2011 in the district of Haryana state as a whole is exhibited in this table:

Table-4.2.2

District - wise financial progress of SGSY in Haryana in Year 2011-2012

| Sr. | District | Total | Funds | Funds Received per lakh |
|-----|----------|----------|-------|-------------------------|
| No | | Received | | of Population |
| 1 | Ambala | 157.62 | | 13.87 |

(Rs. In Lakhs)

| 5 | Gurgaon | 99.95 | 6.60 | |
|------|--------------|---------|-------|--|
| 6 | Hisar | 222.02 | 12.74 | |
| 7 | Jhajjar | 109.53 | 11.45 | |
| 8 | Jind | 277.41 | 20.83 | |
| 9 | Kaithal | 217.4 | 20.26 | |
| 10 | Karnal | 226.12 | 15.01 | |
| 11 | Kurukshetra | 209.26 | 21.73 | |
| 12 | Mahendergarh | 152.610 | 18.12 | |
| 13 | Mewat | 188.27 | 17.28 | |
| 14 | Palwal | 150.76 | 14.49 | |
| 15 | Panchkula | 29.2 | 5.22 | |
| 16 | Panipat | 146.25 | 12.16 | |
| 17 | Rewari | 137.74 | 15.37 | |
| 18 | Rohtak | 101.09 | 9.55 | |
| 19 | Sirsa | 180.13 | 13.91 | |
| 20 | Sonipat | 209.99 | 14.19 | |
| 21 | Yamunanagar | 204.34 | 16.83 | |
| Tota | e | 3525.19 | 13.90 | |

From Table-4.2.2 it is clear that during 2011-12 total allocations under SGSY for the state of Haryana has been Rs 13.90 lakhs per lakh of population. Highest allocation for the scheme has been for Kurukshetra district where Rs 21.73 lakh of population have been allocated during the financial year 2010-11. A sum of Rs 20.83 lakhs per lakh of population and Rs 20.26 lakhs per lakh of population has been allocated to Jind and Kaithal districts, respectively, during the financial year 2011-12.

Lowest allocation in the state of Haryana for the sheme has been in Faridabad district where only a sum of Rs 2.74 lakhs per lakh of population has been allocated during the year 2010-11. Second lowest allocation has been for Panchkula district where a sum of Rs 5.22 lakhs

per lakh of population has been allocated during the year. This is followed by Gurgaon district where a sum of Rs 6.60 per lakh of population has been allocated for SGSY during the financial year 2011-12 (Table-4.2.2).

4.3 Progress and Achievement of SGSY in Mahendergarh district :

Mahendergarh district comprises of five blocks and SGSY was implemented in all these blocks on April 1, 1999. Physical financial progress of the scheme in the five blocks of the district is analyzed in the present section.

4.3.1 Block wise Physical progress under SGSY in Mahendergarh district :

The census 2011 is available at the block level. Therefore, the researcher has taken the population of the five blocks of the district and per lakh of population figures have been obtained using this block wise population. The block wise Physical progress under SGSY in SHGs formed and defunct SHGs is shown in Table-4.3.1A below and analyzed in this section.

It is evident from the Table-4.3.1A that since inception of the scheme, i.e, April 1, 1999 till March 31, 2013 a total of 128.02 % SHGs per lakh of population has been formed in Mahendergarh district and out of these 38.29% SHGs per lakh of population have been defunct. Highest no. of SHGs per lakh of population has been formed in Ateli block where the no. of such SHGs has been 615.99 %. This is closely followed by Kanina where the no. of SHGs formed has been 531.82% per lakh of population.

Table-4.3.1A

Block-Wise Physical Progress under SGSY in Mahendergarh District Since Inception to March,2013

| No | Name of Block | Population(20 | Total | SHGs formed | Defunct | Defunct SHGs |
|----|---------------|---------------|--------|-------------|---------|--------------|
| | | 11) | SHGs | per lakh o | SHGs | per lakh of |
| | | | formed | population | | population |
| 1 | Narnaul | 4,26,780 | 217 | 50.84 | 65 | 15.23 |
| 2 | Nangal | 45,401 | 219 | 482.36 | 88 | 193.82 |

| | Choudhary | | | | | |
|-------|--------------|----------|------|--------|-----|--------|
| 3 | Ateli | 45,455 | 280 | 615.99 | 89 | 195.79 |
| 4 | Mahendergarh | 3,61,925 | 240 | 66.31 | 58 | 16.02 |
| 5 | Kanina | 42,119 | 224 | 531.82 | 53 | 125.83 |
| Total | | 9,21,680 | 1180 | 128.02 | 353 | 38.29 |

Out of the total38.29% SHGs per lakh of population in the district the highest no. of defunct SHGs was registered in Ateli block where 195.79% SHGs per lakh of population became defunct. The lowest no. of defunct SHGs per lakh of population was observed in Narnaul block where the no of such SHGs remained 15.23 % (Table-4.3.1A).

The physical progress of SGSY in the district has also been analysed in terms of progress made by the SHGs formed, i.e., how many of them passed Grade-I, Grade-II and how many of them started Economic Activity and this information is shown in Table-4.3.1B below.

| | I | | | | | |
|------|------------------|-------------|-------------------|-------------------|---------------------|----------|
| No | Name of Blocks | (SHGs per] | Lakh of popula | tion) | | |
| 1 | Name of Block | Formed | Passed Grade-1 | Passed Grade-2 | Started Activity | Economic |
| 2 | Narnaul | 50.84 | 38.89 | 69.22 | 69.29 | |
| 3 | Nangal Choudhary | 482.36 | 343.60 | 255.50 | 599.10 | |
| 4 | Ateli | 615.99 | 391.59 | 261.79 | 653.39 | |
| 5 | Mahendergarh | 66.31 | 56.91 | 41.99 | 84.54 | |
| | Kanina | 531.82 | 472.47 | 284.90 | 546.07 | |
| Tota | l | 128.02 | 98.19 | 69.22 | 167.41 | |

Table-4.3.1BBlock-Wise Physical Progress under SGSY in Mahendergarh District

As shown in Table-4.3.1B above, out of a total of 615.99 SHGs formed per lakh of population, 98.19% SHGs per lakh of population passed Grade-1. Highest and lowest no. of

such SHGs was registered in Kanina and Narnaul blocks where 472.47 and 38.89 SHGs per lakh of population passed grade-1. A total of 69.22 SHGs per lakh of population passed grade-2 in Mahendergarh district since the inception of scheme till March 31, 2013. Highest and lowest no of such SHGs was found in Kanina and Narnaul where 284.90 30.69 SHGs per lakh of population passed Grade-II. It is significant that all those SHGs that passed Grade-II in Mahendergarh district also started Economic Activity.

Table-4.3.2A

| Sr. | Blocks | 2008-09 | 2009- | 2010-11 | 2011-12 | 2012-13 | Total | Defunct |
|-----|-------------|----------|-------|---------|---------|----------|----------|----------|
| No. | | | 10 | | | | | |
| 1 | Mahendergah | 5 | 29 | 18 | 10 | 9 | 71 | 25 |
| | | | | | | | (20.28%) | (35.21%) |
| 2 | Kanina | 5 | 36 | 24 | 2 | 6 | 73 | 20 |
| | | | | | | | (20.85%) | (27.39%) |
| 3 | Nangal | 22 | 14 | 10 | 3 | 3 | 52 | 32 |
| | Choudhary | | | | | | (14.85%) | (61.53%) |
| 4 | Ateli | 1 | 7 | 19 | 1 | 32 | 60 | 30 |
| | | | | | | | (17.14%) | (50.00%) |
| 5 | Narnaul | 70 | 12 | 6 | 1 | 5 | 94 | 35 |
| | | | | | | | (26.85%) | (37.23%) |
| | Total | 103 | 98 | 77 | 17 | 55 | 350 | 142 |
| | | (29.42%) | (28%) | (22%) | (4.85%) | (15.71%) | | (40.57%) |

Year - wise physical progress under SGSY in Mahendergarh (April 1, 2008 to March 31,2013)

During the year the last five years period, a total of 350 SHGs were formed in Mahendergarh district and of them a total of 142 SHGs (40.57%) became defunct. During these five years, highest numbers of SHGs 29.42 % were formed in 2008-09 and the lowest numbers (4.85%) were formed in 2011-12. The highest no. of SHGs (26.85%) were formed in Narnaul

block, followed by Kanina block (20.85%) while the lowest numbers of SHGs were formed in Nangal Choudhary block (14.85%).

Table-4.3.2 B

Year - wise Physical Progress under SGSY in Mahendergarh district April1, 2008 to March, 2013

The block-wise physical progress and position of the Swarnjayanti Gram Sawarozgar Yojana,i.e., position regarding formation passing Grade-I,passing Grade-II,sarting economic activity and regarding defunt Self-Help groups in the Mahendergarh district is shown in Table-4.3.4 below :

| Sr. | Name of Block | Formed | Defunct | Passed | Passed | Started |
|------|---------------|--------|---------|---------|---------|----------|
| No. | | | | Grade-1 | Grade-2 | Economic |
| | | | | | | Activity |
| 1 | Mahendergarh | 71 | 25 | 12 | 34 | 34 |
| 2 | Kanina | 73 | 20 | 12 | 41 | 41 |
| 3 | Nangal | 52 | 32 | 02 | 18 | 18 |
| | Choudhary | | | | | |
| 4 | Ateli | 60 | 30 | 03 | 27 | 27 |
| 5 | Narnaul | 94 | 35 | 29 | 30 | 30 |
| Tota | 1 | 350 | 142 | 58 | 150 | 150 |

As a evident from this table, a total of 350 self-help groups have been formed in the Mahendergarh district during in period, April, 1, 2008 to March 31, 201. highest numbers of self-help groups were formed in Narnaul block (94) followed by Kanina (73). On the other hand, the lowest number of self-help groups were formed in Nangal Choudhary blok (52) followed by Ateli block (60). It is also obvious from the Table-4.3.4 that there have been a total 142 defunct Self-Help Groups in the Mahendergarh district during the period April 1, 2008 to march 31, 2013. Highest numbers of defunct Self-Help Groups were registered in Narnaul (35) Closely

followed by Nangal Choudhary block(32).Lowest numbers of defnet Self-Help groups were registered in Kanina block (20) Closely followed by Mahendergarh block(25).

In the district of Mahendergarh during the period, April 1, 2008 to march 31, 2013 the total number of Self-help Groups that passed Grade-I was58. The highest and lowest numbers of Self-help Groups passing Grade-I during the period ,April1,2008 to March 31,2013were registerred in Narnaul block (29) and Nangal Choudhary block(02)

The number of Self-help Groups that passed Grade-II in the Mahendergarh district during the period, April 1, 2008 to March 31, 2013 remained 150 and the highest number of such Self-HelpGroups was registered in Kanina block (41) and the lowest number was registered in Nangal Choudhary block (18).

A total of 150 Self-Help Groups started economic activity in the Mahendergarh district The period April,2008 to March 31,2013.Narnaul block with 30 Self-Help groups and Ateli 27 Self-Help Groups and Mahendergarh block 34 Self-Help Groups remained the blocks where highest and lowest number of Self-Help groups started economic activity during this period(Table-4.34).

Table 4.3.3

4.3.3: Block-wise Financial progress of SGSY in Mahendergarh Distict during year 2008-2009 to 2012-2013

Year-wise Financial progress of SGSY in Mahendergarh Distict during the year 2008-2009 to 2012-2013 as a whole is exhibited in the below table 4.3.3.

| Sr. | Name of | | | | | | | State share received | | | Total received | | | | | |
|-----|--------------|-----------------------|-------------------------|--------|--------|--------|-------|----------------------|-------|-------|----------------|-------|--------|--------|--------|-------|
| No. | Blocks | Central Fund Received | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | 2009- 2010- 2011- 2012- | | | | 2008- | 2009- | 2010- | 2011- | 2012- | 2008- | 2009- | 2010- | 2011- | 2012- |
| | | 2008-09 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 09 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 09 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 |
| 1 | Mahendergarh | 19.49 | 21.72 | 25.07 | 22.89 | 23.19 | 6.25 | 7.24 | 8.36 | 7.63 | 7.73 | 25.74 | 28.96 | 33.43 | 30.52 | 30.92 |
| 2 | Kanina | 19.49 | 21.72 | 25.05 | 22.89 | 23.19 | 6.25 | 7.24 | 8.35 | 7.63 | 7.73 | 25.74 | 28.96 | 33.43 | 30.52 | 30.92 |
| 3 | N/Choudhary | 19.49 | 21.72 | 25.05 | 22.89 | 23.19 | 6.25 | 7.24 | 8.35 | 7.63 | 7.73 | 25.74 | 28.96 | 33.43 | 30.52 | 30.92 |
| 4 | Ateli | 19.49 | 21.72 | 25.05 | 22.89 | 23.19 | 6.25 | 7.24 | 8.35 | 7.63 | 7.73 | 25.74 | 28.96 | 33.43 | 30.52 | 30.92 |
| 5 | Narnaul | 19.49 | 21.72 | 25.05 | 22.89 | 23.19 | 6.25 | 7.24 | 8.35 | 7.63 | 7.73 | 25.74 | 28.96 | 33.43 | 30.52 | 30.92 |
| | Total | 97.45 | 108.6 | 125.27 | 114.46 | 115.95 | 31.25 | 36.2 | 41.76 | 38.15 | 38.6 | 128.7 | 144.76 | 167.03 | 152.61 | 154.6 |

Table-4.3.3 Block- wise financial Progress of SGSY in Mahendergarh District during the year 2008-2009 to 2012-2013

(Rs in lakhs)

110

Total allocation of funds during the financial year 2008-09 under SGSY to district Mahendergarh was 128.70 lakhs. This includes an amount of Rs. 97.45 lakhs as centre's share and Rs. 36.20 lakhs as state's share. The amount was evenly allocated to the five blocks of the district, i.e, a sum of Rs 25.74 lakhs each was allocated to all the five blocks. Allocation of funds to the district under SGSY increased to Rs 144.76 lakhs during the 2009-10. This included an amount of Rs 108.60 lakhs as centre's share and Rs 36.20 lakhs as state's share. This amount was also evenly located to the five blocks, i.e., a sum of Rs 28.96 lakhs each was allocated to the five blocks.

Allocation of funds to the district under SGSY more increased to Rs 167.03 lakhs during the year 2010-11. The included an amount of 125.27 lakhs as centre's share and Rs 41.76 lakhs as state's share. This amount was also evenly allocated to the five blocks , i.e, a sum of 33.43 lakhs each was allocated to each blocks. Total allocation of funds during the financial year 2011-12 under SGSY to district Mahendergarh was 152.610 lakhs. This included an amount of Rs 114.46 lakhs as centre's share and Rs 38.15 lakhs as state share. The amount was evenly allocated to the five blocks of the district, i.e; a sum of 30.52 lakhs eavh was allocated to all the five blocks. Allocation of funds to the district under SGSY increased to Rs 154.60 lakhs during the year 2012-13. This included an amount of Rs. 114.6 lakhs as state's share. This amount was also evenly allocated to the five blocks, i.e., a sum of Rs 30.92 lakhs each was allocated to each blocks.

During these five years, highest allocated of funds was given in 2010-11 (167.03) and the lowest allocated of funds was given in 2008-09 (128.70).