

CHAPTER – 4

Data Analysis and Interpretation:

To examine the first objective of the study the gender wise participation in MNREGS in five blocks of Mahendergarh district has been given below in absolute term and its estimates.

Table 1.0: Gender wise Participation of MNREGS in Mahendergarh District
Financial Year 2010 - 2011 To 2012-2013

SR. NO	BLOCK NAME	GENDER	2010-11	2011- 12	2012-13
		NAME			
1	ATELI NANGAL	Female	1890	1908	2355
		Male	10734	10548	11576
		Total	12624	12456	13931
2	KANINA	Female	5250	5865	6454
		Men	14260	15154	16023
		Total	19510	21019	22477
3	MAHENDERGARH	Female	5625	5930	6940
		Male	14164	14498	16116
		Total	19789	20428	23056
4	N.CHAUDHARY	Female	6732	7532	7928
		Male	13327	14559	222304
		Total	20059	22091	230232
5	NARNAUL	Female	4488	4924	5102
		Male	3301	14526	15014
		Total	7789	19450	20116

Sources: Ministry of Rural Development in India

Table 1.1: GROWTH RATE ANALYSIS ON GENDER PARTICIPATION OF
MNREGAIN MAHENDERGARH DISTRICT

SR. NO	BLOCK NAME	GENDER NAME	Intercept value (P-value)	Coefficient value (p-value)	R-square
1	ATELI NANGAL	Female	7.4008 (0.0107)	0.109 (0.309)	0.782
		Male	9.225 (0.0047)	0.0377 (0.446)	
		Total	9.373 (0.005)	0.0492 (0.403)	0.64
2	KANINA	Female	8.46526 (0.007)	0.103 (0.026)***	0.99
		Male	9.507 1(0.002)	0.0582 (0.015)*	0.999
		Total	9.809 (0.0003)	0.0707 (0.019)**	0.999
3	MAHENDERGARH	Female	8.512 (0.0048)	0.1050 (0.177)	0.923
		Male	9.480 (0.0034)	0.064 (0.224)	0.880
		Total	9.801 (0.003)	0.076 4(0.207)	0.897
4	N.CHAUDHARY	Female	8.7430 (0.002)	0.081 4(0.135)	0.955
		Male	7.650 (0.134)	1.407 (0.315)	0.773
		Total	8.311658 (0.106)	1.220 (0.311)	0.779
5	Narnaul	Female	8.354 (0.0002)	0.064 (0.160)	0.937
		Male	7.586 (0.075)	0.757 (0.321)	0.766

Total	8.632 (0.040)	0.474 (0.314)	0.776
-------	------------------	------------------	-------

*1.6%, **1.9%, ***2.7% level of significance.

These both tables show the gender wise participation of MNREGS in Mahendergarh District in Haryana State. The table shows data in block wise gender participation. Female participation has insignificantly grown by 11 per cent with years where R^2 was 78 percent. In the absolute figure it has grown from 1890 in 2010-2011 to 2355 in 2012-2013, i.e. adding 465 more female workers. In the case of male participation has insignificantly increased by 3.8 per cent with year where R^2 was 58 per cent. In the absolute figures it has increased from 10734 in 2010-2011 to 11576 in 2012-2013, i. e. including 842 additional male workers. In the case of total male and female participation, it has insignificantly grown by 4.9% per cent in years where R^2 was 65 per cent. In absolute figure it has grown from 12624 in 2010-2011 to 13931 in 2012-2013, i.e. adding 1307 new male and female workers.

In Kanina block, female participation has significant grown by 10.3 per cent (at 2.7 per cent level of significance) with years where R^2 was 99 per cent. In the absolute data it has grown from 5250 in 210-2011 to 6454 in 21012-2013, i. e. adding from 1204 female in Kanina Block. In the case of male participation has significantly increased by 0.058 (at 1.6 per cent level of significance) in years where R^2 was 99 per cent. In absolute figures it has grown from 14260 in 2010-2011 to 16023 in 2012-2013, i. e. adding from 1763 male. In the case of total male and female it has significantly grown by 0.070 (at 1.9 per cent level of significance) with year where was R-square was 0.99. In absolute figure it has grown from 19510 in 2010-11 to 22477 in 2012-2013, i. e. adding from 2967 total male and female.

In Mahendergarh block, female participation has insignificantly increased by 10 per cent with year where R^2 was 92 per cent. In absolute data it has increased from 5625 in 2010-2011 to 6940 in 2012-2013, i. e. adding from 1315 female. In the case of male participation has insignificantly increased by 64 per cent in year where R^2 was

88 per cent. In absolute figure it has increased from 14164 in 2010-2011 to 16116 in 2012-2013, i. e. adding from 1952 male. In the case of total male and female participation has insignificantly increased by 7.6 with the year where R-square was 89 per cent. In absolute figure it has grown from 19789 in 2010-2011 to 23056 in 2012-2013, i. e. adding from 3267 total male and female.

Fourth, we explain of block NagalChaudhary. In NagalChaudhary block, female participation has insignificantly increased by 0.081 with year where R-square was 0.95. In absolute data it has increased from 16732 in 2010-2011 to 7928 in 2012-2013, i. e. adding from 1196 female. In the case of male participation has insignificantly increased by 1.40 with year where R-square was 0.77. In absolute figure it has increased from 13327 in 2010-2011 to 222304 in 2012-2013, i. e. adding from 208977 male. In the case of total male and female has insignificantly grown by 1.22 with year where R-square was 0.78. In absolute figure it has grown from 20059 in 2010-2011 to 230232 in 2012-2013, i. e. adding from 210173 total male and female.

And last fifth block of Narnaul. In Narnaul block female participation has insignificantly increased by 0.64 with year where R-square was 0.94. In absolute figure it has grown from 4488 in 2010-2011 to 5102 in 2012-2013, i. e. adding from 614 female. In the case of male participation has insignificantly grown by 0.75 with year where R-square was 0.77. In absolute figure it has grown from 3301 in 2010-2011 to 15014 in 2012-2013, i.e. adding from 11713 male. In the case of total male and female has insignificantly increased by 0.47 with year where R-square was 0.78. In absolute figure it has grown from 7789 in 2010-2011 to 20116 in 2012-2013, i. e. adding from 12327.

In the last, a comparison look on the table show that no of female participation has insignificantly increased in Mahendergarh block while other block no of female participation has insignificantly increased by low no of female. But only one block (Kanina), where the participation in MNREGS as well as female participation has significantly increased.

In the sense of absolute and the estimates of the age wise registered and employed persons in MNREGS in Mahendergarh district for the period of 2010-11 to 2012-13 (financial year) have studied below.

Table: 2
AGE WISE REGISTERED AND EMPLOYED PERSONS IN MNREGS OF
MOHINDERGARH DISTRICT

Sr. no	Age Group	Registered/ Employed	2010-2011	2011-2012	2012-2013
1	18-30	Registered	17702	16613	17015
		Employed	5802	3615	3619
2	30-40	Registered	34093	37303	38118
		Employed	11697	10502	9616
3	40-50	Registered	25292	28746	28916
		Employed	8209	6940	6421
4	50-60	Registered	12572	14682	14832
		Employed	3494	3015	2425
5	60 Above	Registered	4825	5668	5694
		Employed	352	982	767

Table: 2.1

GROWTH RATE ANALYSIS ON AGE WISE REGISTERED AND EMPLOYED PERSON
OF MNREGS

Sr. no	Age Group	Registered/Employed	Intercept value (P-value)	Coefficient value (P-value)	R ²
1	18-30	Registered	9.786 (0.0035)	-0.0197 (0.576)	0.380
		Employed	8.822 (0.0213)	-0.236 (0.3346)	0.748

2	30-40	Registered	10.392 (0.0026)	0.0557 (0.216)	0.888
		Employed	9.461 (0.00078)	-0.0979* (0.035)	
3	40-50	Registered	10.091 (0.0048)	0.0669 (0.3085)	0.782
		Employed	9.120 (0.0039)	-0.02283 (0.13299)	
4	50-60	Registered	9.380 (0.0061)	0.0826 (0.298)	0.795
		Employed	8.353 (0.0033)	-0.1826 (0.070)**	
5	60 Above	Registered	8.424 (0.0073)	0.0828 (0.3178)	0.770
		Employed	5.686 (0.088)	0.3894 (0.4815)	

*3.5% level of significance and **7.0% level of significance.

In the case of age group 18-30, the no. of registered persons has insignificantly decline by - 1.97 per cent with years where R^2 was 38 per cent. In absolute data it has decline from 17702 in 2012-2011 to 17015 in 2012-2013, i. e. decline from 685 registered people. In the case of no of employed person has insignificantly decline from -2.36 percent in years where R^2 was 74 per cent. In absolute data it has decline from 5802 in 2010-2011 to 3619 in 2012-2013, i.e. decline from 2183 employed person.

In the case of age group 30-40, no of registered person has insignificantly increased by 55 per cent with years where R^2 was 88 per cent. In absolute data it has grown from 34093 in 2010-2011 to 38118 in 2012-2013, i.e. adding from 4025 registered person. In the cases of employed person has significant decline by -9.7 per cent (at 3.5% level of significance) where R^2 was 99 percent. In the absolute figure it has decline from 11697 in 2010-2011 to 9616 in 2012-2013, i.e. decline from 2080 employed person.

In the age group 40-50, no of registered person has insignificantly grown by 66 per cent with years where R^2 was 78 percent. In the absolute data it has grown by 25292 in 2010-2011 to 28916 in 2012-2013, i.e. adding from 3624 registered person. In the case of no of employed person has insignificantly decline by -2.2 per cent in years where R^2 was 95 per cent. In the absolute data it has decline from 8202 in 2010-2011 to 6421 in 2012-2013, i.e. decline from 1788 employed person.

In the age group 50-60, no of registered person has insignificantly grown by 8.2 per cent with years where R^2 was 79 percent. In the absolute it has grown from 12571 in 2010-2011 to 14832 in 2012-2013, i. e. adding from 2260 registered person. No of employed person has significantly decline by -1.8 per cent (at 7 % level of significance)in years where R^2 was 98 per cent. In absolute data it has decline from 3494 in 2010-2011 to 2425 in 2012-2013, i.e. decline from 1069 employed person.

In the age group 60 above, no of registered person has insignificantly grown by 8.2 per cent with years where R^2 was 77 percent. In absolute data it has grown from 4825 in 2010-2011 to 5694 in 2012-2013, i. e. adding from 869 registered people. In the case of no of employed person has insignificantly grown by 3.8 per cent in years where R^2 was 52 per cent. In absolute data it has grown from 352 in 2010-2011 to 767 in 2012-2013, i. e. adding from 415 employed people.

Table: 3.0

EMPLOYMENT GENERATED BY MNREGS IN MOHINDERGARH DISTRICT(FINANCIAL YEAR 2010-2011 TO 2012-2013)

SR. NO.		HH/PER.	2010-11	2011-12	2012-13
		HHs	61365	65362	66048
1	NO. of Reg. Per.	Per.	90344	98183	99152
2	Job Card Issue		61358	65449	65987
		HHs	22537	19476	17270
3	Emp. demanded	Per.	30351	25247	22759
4	Emp.Offered	HHs	23213	19476	17270

Per. 28694 25250 22765

Sources: Ministry of Rural Development in India

Table: 3.1

GROWTH RATE ANALYSIS ON EMPLOYMENT GENERATED OF MNREGS

Sr. No		HHs/Per.	Intercept value (P-value)	Coefficient value (P-value)	R-Square
1	No.of Reg. Person	HHs	10.996 (0.0019)	0.036771 (0.2495)	0.8540
		Per	11.3771 (0.002561)	0.046515 (0.272077)	0.828202
2	Job Card Issue		10.99751 (0.002035)	0.036366 (0.267809)	0.83323
3	Employment Demanded	HHs	10.15171 (0.001008)	-0.13309 (0.035537)*	0.996887
		PER.	10.45112 (0.003053)	-0.14393 (0.101749)	0.974672
4	Employment Offered	HHs	10.19112 (0.002155)	-0.14787 (0.068484)***	0.988472
		Per.	10.37613 (0.000928)	-0.11573 (0.038477)**	0.996352

*3.5%,**3.8%,***6.8% level of significant

The numbers of registered households has insignificantly grown by 3.7 per cent with year where R^2 was 86 per cent. In absolute figure it has grown from 61365 in 2010-2011 to 66048 in 2012-2013, i. e. adding 4683 households. In the case of registered person has insignificantly grown by 4.7 per cent in year where R^2 was 83 per cent. In absolute figure it has grown from 90344 in 2010-2011 to 99152 in 2012-2013, i. e. adding 8808 person.

In the case of job card issue has insignificantly grown by 3.6 per cent in year where R^2 was 83 per cent. In absolute data it has grown from 61358 in 2010-2011 to 65987 in 2012-2013, i. e. adding 4629 no. of job card issue.

In the case of employment demanded, no. of households has significantly decline by -1.3(at 3.6% level of significant)per cent with years where R^2 was 99 per cent. In absolute data it has decline from 22537 in 2010-2011 to 17270 in 2012-2013, i. e. decline from 5267 households. No. of person in employment demanded has insignificantly decline by -1.4 per cent in years where R^2 was 99 per cent. In absolute value it has decline from 30351 in 2010-2011 to 22759 in 2012-2013, i. e. decline from 7592 person.

In the case of employment offered, no. of households has significantly decline by -1.4 per cent (at 6.8% level of significant)in years where R^2 was 99 per cent. In absolute figures it has decline from 23213 in 2010-2011 to 17270 in 2012-2013, i. e. decline from 5943 households. In the case of no. of person in employment offered has significantly decreased by -1.1 per cent (at 3.8% level of significant)in years where R^2 was 99 per cent. In absolute value it has decline from 28694 in 2010-2011 to 22765 in 2012-2013, i. e. decline from 5929 person.

A comparison look on the table shows that the no. of registered households has increased while demand for employment and offered employment have declined. This fall is more prominent in offered employment.

Table: 4.0

CASTWISE EMPLOYED PERSONS IN MNREGS IN DISTRICT MOHINDERGARHFINANCIAL YEAR 2010-11 TO 2012 13				
SR.NO	CAST	2010-11	2011-12	2012-13
1	SC	26480	26577	26577

2	ST	293	293	293
3	OTHERS	63004	68494	68494
4	TOTAL	89777	95364	95364
5	WOMEN	33985	26159	26159

Sources: Ministry of Rural Development in India

Table: 4.1

GROWTH RATE ANALYSIS ON CASTWISE EMPLOYED PERSON OF MNREGS

SR.NO	CAST	Intercept val. (P-value)	Coefficient Val. (P-value)	R-Square
1	SC	10.15502 (0.001493)	0.022756 (0.287266)	0.809835
2	ST	5.680173 (---)	0 (---)	1
3	OTHERS	10.96434 (0.000167)	0.08585 (0.009855)*	0.99976
4	TOTAL	11.34003 (0.000246)	0.063881 (0.02018)**	0.998996
5	WOMEN	10.45728 (0.013558)	-0.08314 (0.568008)	0.393984

*0.9%, **2.0 level of significant

These both tables show that cast wise employed person in MNREGS in Mahendergarh District. The no. of employed person in SC candidates has insignificantly grown by 2.2 per cent with year where R^2 was 81 per cent. In absolute data it has grown from 26480 in 2010-2011 to 27713 in 2012-2013, i.e. adding from 1233 SC employed person.

In ST employed person participation has not progressed in this scheme. In no. of others cast employed persons have significantly grown by 8.5 per cent (at 0.9 % level of significant) where R^2 was 99 per cent. In absolute data it has grown from 63004 in 2010-2011 to 74806 in 2012-2013, i.e. adding from 11802 other cast employed person.

Total no. of person in caste has significantly grown by 6.3 (at 2 % level of significant)with years where R^2 was 99 per cent. In absolute data it has grown from 89777 in 2010-2011 to 102812 in 2012-2013, i. e. adding from 13025 totals.

Table 5:Work projection in MNREGS of District MahendergarhFinancial Year 2010-11 to 2012-13

Sr. No.			2010-11	2011-12	2012-13
1	Rural connectivity	complete	641	712	561
		on going	86	51	352
		app. Not in Pro.	70	99	753
2	Flood Control	complete	4	2	0
		on going	2	0	1
		app. Not in Pro.	0	0	0
3	Water Conservation and Water Harvesting	complete	212	160	98
		on going	31	28	76
		app. Not in Pro.	28	37	120
4	Drought Proofing	complete	65	19	5
		on going	17	0	5
		app. Not in Pro.	4	4	8
5	Micro Irrigation	complete	57	42	5
		on going	4	5	3
		app. Not in Pro.	7	7	7
6	Provision of Irreg. facility	complete	6	0	0
		on going	0	0	0

	to land development	app. Not in Pro.	0	0	0
7	Renovation of Traditional water Bodies	complete	136	36	9
		on going	13	5	13
		app. Not in Pro.	13	15	22
8	Land Development	complete	101	176	122
		on going	23	9	84
		app. Not in Pro.	22	26	129
9	Rural drinking water	complete	0	0	0
		on going	0	0	0
		app. Not in Pro.	0	0	0
10	Fisheries	complete	0	0	0
		on going	0	0	0
		app. Not in Pro.	0	0	0
11	Rural Sanitation	complete	0	0	0
		on going	0	0	0
		app. Not in Pro.	0	0	2

Source:Ministry of Rural Development in India

Table 5.1:Growth Rate Analysis on Work Projection in MNREGS of District Mahendergarh

Sr.No.	Work Categories		Intercept value (P-value)	Coefficient value (P-value)	R ²
1	Rural connectivity	complete	6.586 (0.020)	-0.066 (0.622)	0.31
		on going	3.352	0.696	0.49

			0.270)	(0.503)	
		app. Not in Pro.	0.887 0.121)	1.899 (0.026)	0.99
2	Water Conservation and Water Harvesting	complete	5.777(0.014)	-0.385 (0.098)	0.97
		on going	2.811 (0.150)	0.441 (0.039)	0.66
		app. Not in Pro.	2.449 (0.144)	0.731 0.218)	0.88
3	Micro Irrigation	complete	5.250 (0.101)	-0.981 (0.241)	0.86
		on going	1.652 (0.152)	-1.438 (0.620)	0.31
		app. Not in Pro.	1.945 (-)	0 (-)	1
4	Renovation of Traditional water Bodies	complete	6.279 (0.003)	-1.357 (0.007)	0.99
		on going	2.246 (0.310)	-1.6 (1)	-7.3
		app. Not in Pro.	2.261 (0.042)	0.263 (0.163)	0.93
5	Land Development	complete	4.674 (0.077)	0.094 (0.782)	0.11
		on going	1.964 (0.500)	0.641 (0.609)	0.33
		app. Not in Pro.	1.962 (0.273)	0.888 (0.279)	0.82

These both tables show the rural development of Mahatma Gandhi National Rural Employment Guarantee Scheme in Mahendergarh district of Haryana state. This tables show data work

category wise. Every work category wise divided into three parts. First, complete work, secondly, ongoing work, thirdly, approved not in progress. Approved not in progress means these work used to approve but not in progress. Firstly, the study explains rural connectivity. In rural connectivity complete work has insignificantly decline by -6.6 percent with years where R^2 was 31 per cent. In the absolute date it has decline from 641 in 2010-11 to 561 in 2012-13, i. e. Decline from 80 complete works. In the case of ongoing work has insignificant increase by 6.9 percent with year where R^2 was 49 per cent. In the absolute data increase from 86 in 2010-11 to 346 in 2012-13, i.e. adding from 260 ongoing works. In the case of approved not in progress work has significantly grown by 1.8 per cent (at 2.6 level of significant) with year where R^2 was 99 per cent. In the absolute data it has increase from 17 in 2010-11 to 759 in 2012-13, i.e. adding from 742 approved not in progress works.

Secondly, the study explains water conversation and water harvesting. In the case of complete work has significantly decline by -3.8 percent (at 9.8 per cent level of significant) with year where R^2 was 97 per cent. In the absolute data it has decline from 212 in 2010-11 to 98 in 2012-13, i.e. decline from 114 complete works. In the case of ongoing work has insignificant grown by 4.4 per cent with year where R^2 was 66 per cent. In the absolute data it has grown from 31 in 2010-11 to 75 in 2012-13, i.e. adding from 44 ongoing works. In the case of approved not in progress works has insignificantly grown by 7.3 per cent with year where R^2 was 88 per cent. In absolute data it has grown from 28 in 2010-11 to 121 in 2012-13, i.e. adding from 93 approved not in progress works.

The study explains micro irrigation works. In the case of complete works has insignificantly decline by -9.8 percent with year where R^2 was 86 per cent. In the absolute data it has decline from 57 in 2010-11 to 8 in 2012-13, i.e. decline from 44 complete works. In the case of ongoing works has insignificantly decline by -1.4 per cent with year where R^2 was 31 percent. In the absolute data it has decline from 4 in 2010-11 to 3 in 2012-13, i.e. decline from only 1 ongoing works. In the case of approved not in progress works are same progress with year in 2010-11 to 2012-13.

The study explains renovation traditional water bodies. In the case of complete works has significantly decline by -1.3 per cent (at 0.7 per cent level of significant) with year where R^2 was 99 per cent. In the absolute data it has decrease from 136 in 2010-11 to 9 in 2012-13, i.e.

decrease from 127 complete works. In the case of ongoing works is same progress with year in 2010-11 to 2012-13. In the case of approved not in progress works has insignificantly grown by 2.6 per cent with year where R^2 was 93 percent. In the absolute data it has grown from 13 in 2010-11 to 22 in 2012-13, i.e. adding from 9 works not in progress.

The study explains land development works. In the case of complete works has insignificantly grown by 0.9 per cent with year where R^2 was 11 per cent. In the absolute data it has grown from 101 in 2010-11 to 122 in 2012-13, i.e. adding from 21 complete works. In the case of Ongoing works has insignificantly grown by 6.4 per cent with year where R^2 was 33 per cent. In the absolute data it has grown from 23 in 2010-11 to 83 in 2012-13, i.e. adding from 60 ongoing works. In the case of approved not in progress works has insignificantly grown by 8.8 per cent with year where R^2 was 82 per cent. In the absolute data it has increase from 22 in 2010-11 to 130 in 2012-13, i.e. adding from 60 works not in progress.


Table: 6.0

WAGE RATE IN MNREGS OF HARYANA AND INDIA

Year	Haryana (In Rupees)	India (In Rupees)
2008-09	141.2	100.64
2009-10	141.2	108.93
2010-11	179	138.56
2011-12	179	138.56
2012-13	191	136.28
2013-14	214	151.64

Sources: www.haryanarural.com

Figure: 6.1



The wage rate in MNREGS in Haryana state, in 2008-09 Rupees, was 141.2 and same the wage rate in 2009-10. In 2010-11 wage rate was 179 rupees and the same wage rate was in 2011-12. In the 2012-13 wage rate was 191 rupees but in 2013-14 wage rate is 214 rupees.

A comparison look on the table shoe that wage rate has increased while in India level wage rate has increased but wage rate increase in Haryana more than in India.

Table: 7.0

REGISTERED FAMILY AND AVAILABLE WORK OF PERSON IN MNREGS

YEAR	REGISTERED FAMILY (In Lakh)	AVAILABLE WORK OF PERSON (In Lakh)
2010-11	61940	23150
2011-12	64501	19472
2012-13	65338	17218

Sources: www.drda.com

The presented table shows that the no. of registered family has grown from 65338 lakh in 2012-13, 61940 lakh in 2010-11 and 64501 lakh in 2011-12. In the case of no of person for available work has decline from 17218 lakh in 2012-13, available work of person 23150 lakh is high in 2010-11 and 19472 lakh person of available work in 2011-12.

Table: 8.0

AVAILABLE FUND AND EXPENDITURE FUND IN MNREGS DISTRICT
MEHENDERGARH

YEAR	AVAILABLE FUND (In Lakh)	EXPENDITURE FUND (In Lakh)
2010-11	2635.25	2623.22
2011-12	2911.27	2675.22
2012-13	2366.61	2349.27

Sources: www.drda.com

The available fund and expenditure fund in MNREGS of Mahendergarh district has been presented in the table 7. The table shows that available fund 2911.27 lakh was high in 2011-12 but 2366.61 lakh was low in 2012-13. In the case of expenditure fund 2675.22 lakh was high in 2011-12 but 2349.27 lakh was low in 2012-13.

Table: 9.0

COMPLETE WORK AND INCOMPLETE WORK UNDER MNREGS
DISTRICT MAHENDERGARH

YEAR	TOTAL WORK	COMPLETE WORK	INCOMPLETE WORK
2010-11	1134	1086	48
2011-12	1311	1223	88
2012-13	1181	635	546

Sources: www.drda.com

The presented table shows that complete work and incomplete work in MNREGS of Mahendergarh district. The no. of total work 1311 was high in 2011-12 and 1181 no. of total work is low in 2012-13. In the case of no. of complete work 1223 in 2011-12 was high but 635 complete works in 2012-13 is lower than other year. In the case of no. of in incomplete work 546 in 2012-13 is high but best performance in 2010-11 no. of incomplete work only 48.

Table: 10

Progress Report of MNREGS in District Mahendergarh and Haryana State

Financial Year 2012-13

	Haryana State	Mahendergarh
Employment provided to Households (in lakh)	1.56823	0.17218
Person days (in lakh):		
Total	37.17	7.51
SCs	20 (53.81%)	1.52 (20.28%)
STs	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
Women	15.96 (42.93%)	2.16 (28.81%)
Others	17.17 (46.18%)	5.99 (79.72%)
Total work taken up	14006	1199
Work Complete	1012	83
Work in Progress	12994	1116

Sources: Ministry of Rural Development in India

In table shows that under the Mahatma Gandhi National Rural Employment Guarantee Scheme, employment provided to households 1.56823 lakh in Haryana and 0.17218 lakh in Mahendergarh district. In the case of person days, total person is 37.17 lakh employed in Haryana and 7.51 lakh in Mahendergarh district. In SCs person employed in Haryana 53.81 per cent and 20.28 per cent in Mahendergarh district, STs person employed is 0 per cent in both state, women employed 42.93 per cent in Haryana and 28.81 percent in Mahendergarh district and other person employed 46.18 per cent in Haryana and 79.72 per cent in Mahendergarh district. Total work is taken up 14006 types of work in Haryana and 1199 types of work in Mahendergarh district. Complete work in the scheme 1012 types of work in Haryana and 83 types of work in Mahendergarh. Work progress in these scheme 12994 types of work in Haryana and 1116 types of work in Mahendergarh district.