

BIBLIOGRAPHY

BIBLIOGRAPHY

- Abd Majid, F., Kamarudin, R., & Mohd Zamin, A. A. (2019). Postgraduate Students' Perception of Massive Open Online Courses (MOOCs) in Enhancing their Learning Experience. *International Journal of Education and Literacy Studies*, 7(4), 101. <https://doi.org/10.7575/aiac.ijels.v.7n.4p.101>
- Ali, F., Zhou, Y., Hussain, K., Nair, P. K., & Ragavan, N. A. (2016). Does higher education service quality effect student satisfaction, image and loyalty? *Quality Assurance in Education*, 24(1), 70–94. <https://doi.org/10.1108/qaе-02-2014-0008>
- Alqurashi, E. (2018). Predicting student satisfaction and perceived learning within online learning environments. *Distance Education*, 40(1), 133–148. <https://doi.org/10.1080/01587919.2018.1553562>
- Anderson, R., Wielicki, T., & Anderson, L. (2010). Barriers to Application of E-Learning in Training Activities of SMEs. *International Journal on E-Learning*, 9, 159-167. Retrieved 12 14, 2021, from <https://www.learntechlib.org/primary/p/29357/>
- Aragon, S. R., & Johnson, E. S. (2008). Factors Influencing Completion and Noncompletion of Community College Online Courses. *American Journal of Distance Education*, 22(3), 146–158. <https://doi.org/10.1080/08923640802239962>
- Aras BOZKURT, C. H. (2015). Satisfaction, Preferences and Problems of a MOOC Participants. *The Association for Educational Communication and Technology*, 27-41. Retrieved 7 28, 2021, from https://www.researchgate.net/publication/335723712_Satisfaction_preferences_and_problems_of_a_MOOC_participants
- Arbaugh, J. B. (2000). Virtual Classroom Characteristics and Student Satisfaction with Internet-Based MBA Courses. *Journal of Management Education*, 24(1), 32–54. <https://doi.org/10.1177/105256290002400104>

- Arbaugh, J. B. (2018). Republication of “Virtual Classroom Characteristics and Student Satisfaction with Internet-Based MBA Courses.” *Journal of Management Education*, 42(4), 533–556. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1052562918770400>
- Aref, M., Heidari, M., Morkani, G. S., & Zandi, K. (2012). Application of Kano Model in Higher Education Quality Improvement: Study Master’s Degree Program of Educational Psychology in State Universities of Tehran. *World Applied Sciences Journal*, 347-343. doi:doi=10.1.1.389.8954&rep=rep1&type=pdf
- Asaduzzaman, M. H. (2013, May 30). Service quality and student satisfaction: a case study on private universities in Bangladesh. *International Journal of Economics, Finance and Management Sciences*, Vol. 1, No. 3, 128-135. doi:doi: 10.11648/j.ijefm.20130103.11
- Bauk, S., ŠćEpanović, S., & Kopp, M. (2014). Estimating Students’ Satisfaction with Web Based Learning System in Blended Learning Environment. *Education Research International*, 2014, 1–11. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2014/731720>
- Blau, G., Drennan, R. B., Karnik, S., & Kapanjie, D. (2017). Do Technological and Course-Related Variables Impact Undergraduates’ Perceived Favorability and Willingness to Recommend Online/Hybrid Business Courses? *Decision Sciences Journal of Innovative Education*, 15(4), 349–369. <https://doi.org/10.1111/dsji.12139>
- Bolliger, D. U., & Wasilik, O. (2009). Factors influencing faculty satisfaction with online teaching and learning in higher education. *Distance Education*, 30(1), 103–116. <https://doi.org/10.1080/01587910902845949>
- Boyd, N. M., Liu, X., & Horissian, K. (2020). Impact of Community Experiences on Student Retention Perceptions and Satisfaction in Higher Education. *Journal of College Student Retention: Research, Theory & Practice*, 152102512091643. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1521025120916433>

- Bradford, G. R. (2011). A relationship study of student satisfaction with learning online and cognitive load: Initial results. *The Internet and Higher Education, 14*(4), 217–226. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.iheduc.2011.05.001>
- Bradford, G., & Wyatt, S. (2010). Online learning and student satisfaction: Academic standing, ethnicity and their influence on facilitated learning, engagement, and information fluency. *The Internet and Higher Education, 13*(3), 108–114. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.iheduc.2010.02.005>
- [By The Numbers: MOOCs in 2021]. (2021, December 1). <https://www.classcentral.com/report/mooc-stats-2021/>
- Campbell, A. (2012). Enhancing student engagement through practice experience in social work education: the Social Work Studio. *Higher Education Research & Development, 31*(6), 773–784. <https://doi.org/10.1080/07294360.2012.670211>
- Chang, K. C., & Chen, M. C. (2011). Applying the Kano model and QFD to explore customers' brand contacts in the hotel business: A study of a hot spring hotel. *Total Quality Management & Business Excellence, 22*(1), 1–27. <https://doi.org/10.1080/14783363.2010.529358>
- Chen, H., Dai, Y., Gao, H., Han, D., & Li, S. (2019). Classification and analysis of MOOCs learner's state: The study of hidden Markov model. *Computer Science and Information Systems, 16*(3), 849–865. <https://doi.org/10.2298/csis181002030c>
- Chen, L. H., & Kuo, Y. F. (2011). Understanding e-learning service quality of a commercial bank by using Kano's model. *Total Quality Management & Business Excellence, 22*(1), 99–116. <https://doi.org/10.1080/14783363.2010.532345>
- Chitkushev, L., Vodenska, I., & Zlateva, T. (2014). Digital Learning Impact Factors: Student Satisfaction and Performance in Online Courses. *International Journal of Information and Education Technology, 4*(4), 356–359. <https://doi.org/10.7763/ijiet.2014.v4.429>

- Chiu, T. K. F. (2021). Student engagement in K-12 online learning amid COVID-19: A qualitative approach from a self-determination theory perspective. *Interactive Learning Environments*, 1–14. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10494820.2021.1926289>
- Cho, M. H., & Cho, Y. (2017). Self-regulation in three types of online interaction: a scale development. *Distance Education*, 38(1), 70–83. <https://doi.org/10.1080/01587919.2017.1299563>
- Chung, E., & Mathew, V. N. (2020a). Satisfied with Online Learning Amidst COVID-19, but do you Intend to Continue Using it? *International Journal of Academic Research in Progressive Education and Development*, 9(4). <https://doi.org/10.6007/ijarped/v9-i4/8177>
- Chung, E., & Mathew, V. N. (2020b). Satisfied with Online Learning Amidst COVID-19, but do you Intend to Continue Using it? *International Journal of Academic Research in Progressive Education and Development*, 9(4). <https://doi.org/10.6007/ijarped/v9-i4/8177>
- Cole, M. T., Shelley, D. J., & Swartz, L. B. (2014). Online instruction, e-learning, and student satisfaction: A three-year study. *The International Review of Research in Open and Distributed Learning*, 15(6). <https://doi.org/10.19173/irrodl.v15i6.1748>
- Conference Abstracts. (2014). *Psychology Learning & Teaching*, 13(2), 161–194. <https://doi.org/10.2304/plat.2014.13.2.161>
- Conole, G. (2016). MOOCs as disruptive technologies: strategies for enhancing the learner experience and quality of MOOCs. *Revista de Educación a Distancia (RED)*, 50. <https://doi.org/10.6018/red/50/2>
- The DeLone and McLean Model of Information Systems Success: A Ten-Year Update. (2003). *Journal of Management Information Systems*, 19(4), 9–30. <https://doi.org/10.1080/07421222.2003.11045748>

- Deng, R., Benckendorff, P., & Gannaway, D. (2019). Learner engagement in MOOCs: Scale development and validation. *British Journal of Educational Technology*, *51*(1), 245–262. <https://doi.org/10.1111/bjet.12810>
- Deng, R., Benckendorff, P., & Gannaway, D. (2020). Linking learner factors, teaching context, and engagement patterns with MOOC learning outcomes. *Journal of Computer Assisted Learning*, *36*(5), 688–708. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jcal.12437>
- Delone, W. H., & Mclean, E. R. (2014). The DeLone and McLean Model of Information Systems Success: A Ten Year Update. *Journal of Management Information Systems*, 9-30. doi:<https://doi.org/10.1080/07421222.2003.11045748>
- Dixson, M. D. (2015). Measuring Student Engagement in the Online Course: The Online Student Engagement Scale (OSE). *Online Learning*, *19*(4). <https://doi.org/10.24059/olj.v19i4.561>
- Dora, Y. M., Kaniawati, K., & Nurani, N. (2019). Service Quality, Student Satisfaction and Decision in Remaining Active to Study - Study at Private Universities in West Java. *Universal Journal of Educational Research*, *7*(5A), 18–23. <https://doi.org/10.13189/ujer.2019.071504>
- Dziuban, C., & Moskal, P. (2011). A course is a course is a course: Factor invariance in student evaluation of online, blended and face-to-face learning environments. *The Internet and Higher Education*, *14*(4), 236–241. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.iheduc.2011.05.003>
- Dziuban, C., Moskal, P., Thompson, J., Kramer, L., DeCantis, G., & Hermsdorfer, A. (2015a). Student Satisfaction with Online Learning: Is it a Psychological Contract? *Online Learning*, *19*(2). <https://doi.org/10.24059/olj.v19i2.496>
- Dziuban, C., Moskal, P., Thompson, J., Kramer, L., DeCantis, G., & Hermsdorfer, A. (2015b). Student Satisfaction with Online Learning: Is it a Psychological Contract? *Online Learning*, *19*(2). <https://doi.org/10.24059/olj.v19i2.496>

- Erdođdu, F., & ÇAkırođlu, N. (2021). The educational power of humor on student engagement in online learning environments. *Research and Practice in Technology Enhanced Learning*, 16(1). <https://doi.org/10.1186/s41039-021-00158-8>
- Fredericksen, E., Pickett, A., Shea, P., Pelz, W., & Swan, K. (2019). Student Satisfaction and Perceived Learning with On-line Courses: Principles and Examples from the SUNY Learning Network. *Online Learning*, 4(2). <https://doi.org/10.24059/olj.v4i2.1899>
- Gameel, B. G. (2017). Learner Satisfaction with Massive Open Online Courses. *American Journal of Distance Education*, 31(2), 98–111. <https://doi.org/10.1080/08923647.2017.1300462>
- Ghazal, S., Al-Samarraie, H., & Aldowah, H. (2018). “I am Still Learning”: Modeling LMS Critical Success Factors for Promoting Students’ Experience and Satisfaction in a Blended Learning Environment. *IEEE Access*, 6, 77179–77201. <https://doi.org/10.1109/access.2018.2879677>
- Gordon, J. A., Barnes, C. M., & Martin, K. J. (2009). Undergraduate Research Methods: Does Size Matter? A Look at the Attitudes and Outcomes of Students in a Hybrid Class Format versus a Traditional Class Format. *Journal of Criminal Justice Education*, 20(3), 227–248. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10511250903200493>
- Harsasi, M., & Sutawijaya, A. (2018). Determinants of Student Satisfaction in Online Tutorial: A Study of a Distance Education Institution. *Turkish Online Journal of Distance Education*, 19(1), 89–99. <https://doi.org/10.17718/tojde.382732>
- Heng, L. K., Abu Bakar, Z. A. B., Omar, N. A., & Rol, L. K. (2020). Examining the Relationship among Learning Self-Efficacy, MOOC-Satisfaction and MOOC-Loyalty of MOOC Learner with Bagozzi’s Model. *International Journal of Academic Research in Business and Social Sciences*, 10(4). <https://doi.org/10.6007/ijarbss/v10-i4/7159>

- Hew, K. F. (2014). Promoting engagement in online courses: What strategies can we learn from three highly rated MOOCs. *British Journal of Educational Technology*, 47(2), 320–341. <https://doi.org/10.1111/bjet.12235>
- Hew, K. F., Hu, X., Qiao, C., & Tang, Y. (2020). What predicts student satisfaction with MOOCs: A gradient boosting trees supervised machine learning and sentiment analysis approach. *Computers & Education*, 145, 103724. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compedu.2019.103724>
- Horvat, A., Dobrota, M., Krsmanovic, M., & Cudanov, M. (2013). Student perception of Moodle learning management system: a satisfaction and significance analysis. *Interactive Learning Environments*, 23(4), 515–527. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10494820.2013.788033>
- Hossain, M., & Rahman, M. (2013). Service quality and student satisfaction: A case study on private universities in Bangladesh. *International Journal of Economics Finance and Management Sciences*, 128-135. doi:DOI:10.11648/j.ijefm.20130103.11
- Hoyt, S., Theodore, N. D., & Alford, T. L. (2020). Creating a learning community and building engagement in online engineering courses using active learning instructional practices and edtech tools. *International Journal on Innovations in Online Education*, 4(1), 11. <https://doi.org/10.1615/intjinnovonlineedu.2020032594>
- Hsu, C. H., Hung, C. Y., Lan, U. H., & Chen, Z. J. (2020). The Discussion of Kano Two-Dimensional Quality Mode on the Essential Service Quality Attributes of Taiwan Power Company. *International Journal of Machine Learning and Computing*, 10(3), 423–430. <https://doi.org/10.18178/ijmlc.2020.10.3.952>
- Ilgaz, H., & Gülbahar, Y. (2015). A snapshot of online learners: e-Readiness, e-Satisfaction and expectations. *The International Review of Research in Open and Distributed Learning*, 16(2). <https://doi.org/10.19173/irrodl.v16i2.2117>

- Ilgaz, H., & Gülbahar, Y. (2020). Examining e-Learners' Preferences and Readiness Satisfaction: A Holistic Modelling Approach. *Open Praxis*, 12(2), 209. <https://doi.org/10.5944/openpraxis.12.2.1070>
- Jung, Y., & Lee, J. (2018). Learning Engagement and Persistence in Massive Open Online Courses (MOOCS). *Computers & Education*, 122, 9–22. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compedu.2018.02.013>
- Kakada, P., Deshpande, Y., & Bisen, S. (2019). Technology Support, Social Support, Academic Support, Service Support, and Student Satisfaction. *Journal of Information Technology Education: Research*, 18, 549–570. <https://doi.org/10.28945/4461>
- Kamarudin, N., Lee, T. T., Mohamad Sharif, A., Taha, H., & Abdul Rahim, N. (2020). Development and perception of students on e-Assessment Module for Chemistry Massive Open Online Course (MOOC). *Journal of Science and Mathematics Letters*, 8(2), 109–121. <https://doi.org/10.37134/jsml.vol8.2.13.2020>
- Kırmızı, O. (2014). A Study on the Predictors of Success and Satisfaction in an Online Higher Education Program in Turkey. *International Journal of Education*, 6(4), 26. <https://doi.org/10.5296/ije.v6i4.6322>
- Kumar, P., & Kumar, N. (2020). A study of learner's satisfaction from MOOCs through a mediation model. *Procedia Computer Science*, 173, 354–363. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.procs.2020.06.041>
- Kuo, Y. C. (2014). Accelerated Online Learning: Perceptions of Interaction and Learning Outcomes Among African American Students. *American Journal of Distance Education*, 28(4), 241–252. <https://doi.org/10.1080/08923647.2014.959334>

- Kuo, Y. C., Walker, A. E., Belland, B. R., & Schroder, K. E. E. (2013). A predictive study of student satisfaction in online education programs. *The International Review of Research in Open and Distributed Learning*, 14(1), 16. <https://doi.org/10.19173/irrodl.v14i1.1338>
- Kurt, S. (2021). Massive open online courses (MOOCs) & Definitions. Retrieved from <https://educationaltechnology.net/massive-open-online-courses-moocs-definitions/>
- Lan, M., & Hew, K. F. (2020). Examining learning engagement in MOOCs: a self-determination theoretical perspective using mixed method. *International Journal of Educational Technology in Higher Education*, 17(1). <https://doi.org/10.1186/s41239-020-0179-5>
- Latwal, G. S., & Gupta, L. (2020). Learners' Satisfaction of MOOCs: Cross Sectional Study. *SSRN Electronic Journal*. <https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.3871667>
- Lee, E. H., & Park, M. R. (2019). A Longitudinal Study of Improving Learner's Vocabulary & Satisfaction Through an Extensive Reading Program. *Korean Journal of Applied Linguistics*, 35(4), 131–152. <https://doi.org/10.17154/kjal.2019.12.35.4.131>
- Lodi, E., Boerchi, D., Magnano, P., & Patrizi, P. (2019). High-School Satisfaction Scale (H-Sat Scale): Evaluation of Contextual Satisfaction in Relation to High-School Students' Life Satisfaction. *Behavioral Sciences*, 9(12), 125. <https://doi.org/10.3390/bs9120125>
- Lowenthal, P., & Hodges, C. (2015). In Search of Quality: Using Quality Matters to Analyze the Quality of Massive, Open, Online Courses (MOOCs). *The International Review of Research in Open and Distributed Learning*, 16(5). <https://doi.org/10.19173/irrodl.v16i5.2348>
- Majumder, C. (2019a). SWAYAM: The Dream Initiative of India and its uses in Education. *International Journal of Trend in Scientific Research and Development, Volume-3(Issue-3)*, 57–60. <https://doi.org/10.31142/ijtsrd21617>

- Mondal, G. C., & Majumder, P. (2019). IMPACT OF 'SWAYAM' TOWARDS ACADEMIC ACHIEVEMENT. Retrieved 10 2, 2021, from [https://www.researchgate.net/publication/333868372_IMPACT_OF_'SWAYA M'_TOWARDS_ACADEMIC_ACHIEVEMENT](https://www.researchgate.net/publication/333868372_IMPACT_OF_'SWAYA_M'_TOWARDS_ACADEMIC_ACHIEVEMENT)
- Martin, F., & Bolliger, D. U. (2018). Engagement Matters: Student Perceptions on the Importance of Engagement Strategies in the Online Learning Environment. *Online Learning*, 22(1). <https://doi.org/10.24059/olj.v22i1.1092>
- Mathews, S. A., & Landorf, H. (2016). Developing a Framework to Evaluate the Potential of Global Learning in MOOCs. *New Horizons in Adult Education and Human Resource Development*, 28(4), 3–14. <https://doi.org/10.1002/nha3.20157>
- Mazumder, Q. H. (2013). Student Satisfaction in Private and Public Universities in Bangladesh. *International Journal of Evaluation and Research in Education (IJERE)*, 2(2). <https://doi.org/10.11591/ijere.v2i2.2060>
- Meyer, K. A. (2014). Student Engagement in Online Learning: What Works and Why. *ASHE Higher Education Report*, 40(6), 1–114. <https://doi.org/10.1002/aehe.20018>
- Mrázek, M. (2019). Massive open online courses “MOOC” - how searching courses. *E-Pedagogium*, 19(1), 65–72. <https://doi.org/10.5507/epd.2019.007>
- Muthuprasad, T., Aiswarya, S., Aditya, K., & Jha, G. K. (2021). Students' perception and preference for online education in India during COVID -19 pandemic. *Social Sciences & Humanities Open*, 3(1), 100101. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ssaho.2020.100101>
- Muzammil, M., Sutawijaya, A., & Harsasi, M. (2020). Investigating student satisfaction in online learning: the role of student interaction and engagement in distance learning university. *Turkish Online Journal of Distance Education*, 88–96. <https://doi.org/10.17718/tojde.770928>

- Noh, Y. (2018). The Study on factors affecting Learner's Satisfaction. *The Academy of Customer Satisfaction Management*, 20(1), 107–125. <https://doi.org/10.34183/kcsma.20.1.6>
- Panda, S. P., Mahapatra, R., & Saibabu, K. (2019). A Study of Webs of Active Learning for Young Aspiring Minds (SWAYAM)-A MOOC Platform of India. *KIIT Journal of Library and Information Management*, 6(1), 1. <https://doi.org/10.5958/2455-8060.2019.00001.6>
- Pathak, A., & Mishra, S. (2021a). An Empirical Exploration of MOOC Effectiveness Towards Participants' Intention-Fulfilment and Learners' Satisfaction. *Vision: The Journal of Business Perspective*, 097226292110541. <https://doi.org/10.1177/09722629211054170>
- Pathak, A., & Mishra, S. (2021b). An Empirical Exploration of MOOC Effectiveness Towards Participants' Intention-Fulfilment and Learners' Satisfaction. *Vision: The Journal of Business Perspective*, 097226292110541. <https://doi.org/10.1177/09722629211054170>
- Pelletier, Rose, Russell, Guberman, Das, Bland, Bonner, & Renée Chambers. (2016). Connecting Student Engagement to Student Satisfaction: A Case Study at East Carolina University. *Journal of Assessment and Institutional Effectiveness*, 6(2), 123. <https://doi.org/10.5325/jasseinsteffe.6.2.0123>
- Qi, D., Zhang, M., & Zhang, Y. (2020). Influence of Participation and Value Co-creation on Learner Satisfaction of MOOCs Learning: Learner Experience Perspective. *The Asia-Pacific Education Researcher*. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40299-020-00538-6>
- Qudsiyah, L. (2019). The correlation between self-directed learning (SDL) levels and students' engagement (se) levels in english language learning in mtsn 02 sidoarjo. 1-106. Retrieved from http://digilib.uinsby.ac.id/33455/2/Lailiyatul%20Qudsiyah_D05214011.pdf

- Rajabalee, Y. B., & Santally, M. I. (2020). Learner satisfaction, engagement and performances in an online module: Implications for institutional e-learning policy. *Education and Information Technologies*, 26(3), 2623–2656. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10639-020-10375-1>
- Rennie, S. (2000). 21st Century Technologies: Promises and Perils of a Dynamic Future; OECD Forum for the Future. *Futures*, 32(5), 493–495. [https://doi.org/10.1016/s0016-3287\(99\)00089-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/s0016-3287(99)00089-0)
- Roque-Hernández, R. V., Díaz-Roldán, J. L., López-Mendoza, A., & Salazar-Hernández, R. (2021). Instructor presence, interactive tools, student engagement, and satisfaction in online education during the COVID-19 Mexican lockdown. *Interactive Learning Environments*, 1–14. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10494820.2021.1912112>
- Serenko, A. (2011). Student satisfaction with Canadian music programmes: the application of the American Customer Satisfaction Model in higher education. *Assessment & Evaluation in Higher Education*, 36(3), 281–299. <https://doi.org/10.1080/02602930903337612>
- Shiv, B., & Huber, J. (2000). The Impact of Anticipating Satisfaction on Consumer Choice. *Journal of Consumer Research*, 27(2), 202–216. <https://doi.org/10.1086/314320>
- Sholikhah, M., & Sutirman, S. (2020). How Technology Acceptance Model TAM Factors of Electronic Learning Influence Education Service Quality through Students' Satisfaction. *TEM Journal*, 1221–1226. <https://doi.org/10.18421/tem93-50>
- Sofroniou, A., Premnath, B., & Poutos, K. (2020). Capturing Student Satisfaction: A Case Study on the National Student Survey Results to Identify the Needs of Students in STEM Related Courses for a Better Learning Experience. *Education Sciences*, 10(12), 378. <https://doi.org/10.3390/educsci10120378>

- Stickney, L. T., Bento, R. F., Aggarwal, A., & Adlakha, V. (2019). Online Higher Education: Faculty Satisfaction and Its Antecedents. *Journal of Management Education*, 43(5), 509–542. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1052562919845022>
- SWAYAM: Study webs of active-learning for young aspiring minds making a digital india. (2017). *International Journal of Advance Engineering and Research Development*, 4(09). <https://doi.org/10.21090/ijaerd.57569>
- Tarigan, J. (2012). Factors Influencing Users Satisfaction on E-Learning Systems. *Jurnal Manajemen Dan Kewirausahaan*, 13(2). <https://doi.org/10.9744/jmk.13.2.177-188>
- Tontini, G., & Dagostin Picolo, J. (2013). Identifying the impact of incremental innovations on customer satisfaction using a fusion method between importance-performance analysis and Kano model. *International Journal of Quality & Reliability Management*, 31(1), 32–52. <https://doi.org/10.1108/ijqrm-05-2012-0062>
- Yang, J. I. (2017). Effects of MOOC-Based Learning on Students' Competence in English and Satisfaction. *The Journal of Linguistics Science*, 82, 219–236. <https://doi.org/10.21296/jls.2017.09.82.219>
- Yawson, D. E., & Yamoah, F. A. (2020). Understanding satisfaction essentials of E-learning in higher education: A multi-generational cohort perspective. *Heliyon*, 6(11), e05519. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.heliyon.2020.e05519>
- Zhang, W., & Cheng, Y. L. (2012). Quality assurance in e-learning: PDPP evaluation model and its application. *The International Review of Research in Open and Distributed Learning*, 13(3), 66. <https://doi.org/10.19173/irrodl.v13i3.1181>
- MOOC on Education Policy (n.d.). Retrieved from <https://ccs.in/massive-open-online-course-education-policy>
- How NEP Strongly support Online Courses for Future Generation (2020). Retrieve from <https://www.highereducationdigest.com/how-nep-strongly-support-online-courses-for-future-generation/>

NEP 2020: Teachers Fear Job Loss (2021). Retrieved from <https://www.duupdates.in/nep-2020-teachers-fear-job-loss/>

India's New Education Policy: Does It Really Unshackle the Educational Institutions? (2020). Retrieved from <https://pdiwan.medium.com/indias-new-education-policy-does-it-really-unshackle-the-educational-institutions-837b2dae584>

SALIENT FEATURES OF NEP 2020: HIGHER EDUCATION (n.d). Retrieved from https://www.ugc.ac.in/pdfnews/5294663_Salient-Featuresofnep-Engmerged.pdf